



Suddenly there were billions of Euros to support the greedy few that had devastated the economic and financial system. But for more than nine million children that die each year before they are five, there is no money. Also there are trillions available for Star Wars that will microwave the world, but no money for drinking water, shelter, food, and other such basic human needs. The world alcohol expenditure is more than the food bills of people that die of malnutrition.

The present world is controlled by elites with money and power and hence the misery and inequality since ages. This has to change. The answer lies in forcing elites to change their greed.

The 'new world' in the making should focus on all the basic needs of the masses than the insatiable greed of the elites. This paradigm shift will change the mentality of leaders as well as masses and will bring about a 'new humane world'.

Address the mistakes of the past and problems will solve by themselves. To work for a new egalitarian world, we need to have firm ideas, firm vision, and firm schedule to achieve firm results.

You have chosen a book written by a brave pedestrian who chronicles things as he sees them. Read this simple book of **Mr. Joost van Steenis** and Work to Right the Wrong and Work for Change.

Dr. Leo Rebello
World Peace Envoy

FROM CHAOS TO CHANGE by Joost van Steenis

FROM CHAOS TO CHANGE

Entering a New Era
Joost van Steenis



Foreword by
Dr. Leo Rebello



Joost van Steenis, M.Sc. in mathematics, physics and chemistry was born on 30 May 1938 in Leiden, the Netherlands. Joost worked as a statistician and teacher for long and due to busy schedule could not pursue his doctoral studies. He is now a full time activist and writer of several articles and books. He is also involved in mass movements against nuclear energy, squatters' movement, etc. He has undertaken many travels in foreign countries, including one and a half year in Africa (from Morocco to Nigeria and Congo to Kenya and Tanzania); another six months travelling to Venezuela via Colombia, Peru, Bolivia and Brazil, back to Venezuela; further travels in South East Asia including India, Indonesia and Australia and several visits to China from 1972.

Contact: downwithelite@gmail.com
Website: <http://members.chello.nl/jsteenis>



POWER TO PEOPLE



Leo Rebello, N.D., Ph.D., M.B.A. was born in Bombay, India on 11 April 1950 of Goan parents. He has adopted Humanism, as a way of life, and Love, as his driving force. Being a Holistic Healer he takes a total view of all that he surveys and, as such, is able to solve the problems that beset us today with his universal outlook.

Dr. Leo Rebello, World Peace Envoy, guides doctoral and post doctoral students, has written 36 books and delivered over 10,000 lectures in 63 countries, including at UNAIDS, UNESCO, UNEP, UNDP, UN-Habitat, WHO, Medicina Alternativa & WONM conferences. The world media has interviewed him widely. His ambition in life is to become the President of India.

Contact: prof.leorebello@gmail.com
Website: www.healthwisdom.org



POWER TO PEOPLE

FROM CHAOS TO CHANGE

Entering a New Era

Author

Joost van Steenis

Amsterdam

Editing and Foreword by

Dr. Leo Rebello

Bombay

Published by

Foundation Power & Elite

The Netherlands

From Chaos to Change – Entering a New Era

© Joost van Steenis

First Edition, 1000 copies. May 2009.

Foreword and Editing by Dr. Leo Rebello

prof.leorebello@gmail.com

Cover and book design by Robin Rebello

robinrebello@gmail.com



POWER TO PEOPLE

Published by the Foundation Power & Elite

Saffierstraat 39-III 1074 GK Amsterdam, The Netherlands
downwithelite@gmail.com / <http://members.chello.nl/jsteenis>

through Dr. Leo Rebello and printed at

Lucky Printers, 40 Mehta Industrial Estate, Liberty Garden,
Malad West, Mumbai 400064, India.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| FOREWORD - Dr. Leo Rebello | 4 |
| PREFACE - Joost van Steenis | 6 |
| | |
| 1. WHY DO WE WANT TO CHANGE OUR WORLD? | 8 |
| 2. THE ELITIST AND THE HUMAN PARADIGM | 13 |
| 3. LEADERS ARE DOING THEIR BEST | 17 |
| 4. CORRUPTION AND GREED ARE ELITIST ILLNESSES | 22 |
| 5. ELITEPEOPLE RUN THE SHOW ! | 30 |
| 6. PEOPLE ARE IMPORTANT, NOT ORGANISATIONS | 36 |
| 7. NEW SOCIETY NEEDS NEW VALUES | 41 |
| 8. THE WORLD MUST TURN AROUND PEOPLE, NOT AROUND MONEY | 47 |
| 9. REAL CHANGE OCCURS SUDDENLY | 52 |
| 10. WHY ARE MOST PEOPLE NOT INVOLVED IN POLITICS? | 56 |
| 11. ALTERNATING MINORITIES V/S DEMOCRACY BASED ON MAJORITIES | 63 |
| 12. PROTEST IS NOT ENOUGH | 69 |
| 13. ONLY A NEW PARADIGM CAN CAUSE CHANGE | 74 |
| 14. MASSPEOPLE ALWAYS FIGHT EACH OTHER | 81 |
| 15. OBSTACLES ON THE ROAD TO A NEW WORLD | 87 |
| 16. BE LIKE A FISH IN THE WATER | 95 |
| 17. THE WAR OF THE FLEA | 102 |
| 18. INTRUDING THE ELITEWORLD | 109 |
| 19. ACTION TARGETS | 115 |
| 20. CREATIVE DISTURBANCE | 121 |
| 21. WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE WORLD! | 127 |

FOREWORD

by Dr. Leo Rebello, World Peace Envoy, Bombay, India

From Chaos to Change – Entering a New Era is all about changing what is possible by uniting the simple “masspeople” against the greedy “elitepersons”.

Karl Marx once said that capitalism produces its own gravediggers. Accordingly, capitalism is failing; the systems designed by the elites are failing. This is the time to strike for a dynamic change, for Holistic development. In this book, the author discusses some simple concepts that show a different road to equality. The central theme of his book is to ‘simplicate’ rather than ‘complicate’ the issues.

Joost van Steenis (1938-) is an autonomous political activist, from The Netherlands. He is a pensioner who travels widely and jots down his thoughts and circulates as letters to his worldwide friends through the powerful medium of the Internet. 21 such letters have been compiled in this book, through which emerges a simple plan of how to change the chaotic world gone awry because of the greedy few who like to control every thing to the detriment of the masses.

What makes this monograph different from other books is that Joost is not a political *pundit*, but a simple traveller who keeps his eyes and ears wide open while travelling. He believes like **Frank Buchman** (initiator of Moral Re-Armament) that, “there is enough in this world for everyone’s need, not for everyone’s greed; and if everyone cared enough and everyone shared enough then everyone would have enough”.

Joost van Steenis also believes like **M.K.Gandhi**, “You must be the change you wish to see in the world” and like frail, semi-naked Gandhi, who ousted Britishers from India with two powerful weapons called “Non-Cooperation” and “Non-Violence” (which later inspired Martin Luther King of USA and Nelson Mandela of South Africa), Joost too subscribes

that if the “masses” of the world were to unite, then the “elites” who leech on them will be forced to mend their rotten ways. Joost believes, as do I, that the man-making, nation-building, world-uniting concepts flow from the powerful pen capturing ideas written from the caring heart.

Joost van Steenis may not have doctoral or post doctoral degrees to wear as medals, but his ideas, concerns and resolve to change the world are *pro bono publico*. English is not his mother tongue. Hence, he asked me to edit the text, which I have done to the best of my ability without messing up with what he has to say. He repeats some ideas to reinforce them.

The author’s other books are: “The Scarists” - Contemplations about a society beyond democracy; “About Violence and Democracy” - How to reach a society beyond democracy; and “The Power of the Autonomous Human” - theory and practice of attacks on persons. His writings, he says, are free to download from <http://members.chello.nl/steenis>

I have not read his other books. But about this book, I have no hesitation in saying that this is one book which should be read, translated in other major languages, and should be discussed and debated by the university students who should rally round to change the world. Because, the plunder, mayhem and destruction by the few is detrimental to the majority, nay for the very survival of the human race and cannot be tolerated any longer.

As worker-poet Eugene Pottier sang more than a century ago:

*Arise ye workers from your slumbers
Arise ye prisoners of want
For reason in revolt now thunders
And at last ends the age of cant
So away with all superstitions
Servile masses arise arise
We’ll change forth with the old conditions
And spurn the dust to win the prize.*

Address the mistakes of the past and problems will solve by themselves. To work for a new egalitarian world, we need to change our old goggles; we need to have firm ideas, firm vision, and firm schedule to achieve firm results. Unwittingly, you have chosen a book written by a brave pedestrian who chronicles things as he sees them. Now read this simple book of Mr. Joost van Steenis and Work to Right the Wrong, Work for Change. The time to act is now.

Dr. Leo Rebello
World Peace Envoy
Bombay, India

5 May, 2009.

PREFACE

With every passing day our erstwhile beautiful world is becoming more and more dehumanised. Each year more than nine million children die before they are five years old. Elsewhere common people are only numbers in a statistical file. This happens because the “greedy” few grab everything.

The present society is dominated by the paradigm that gives Elitepersons the Power to get more Money than anyone else. The same elitepersons who caused the economic crisis are still in power with the same bad ideas as before. \$10 trillion have been distributed in bailouts and while the poor are loosing their jobs, the high-ranking executives are giving themselves fat bonuses playing with taxpayers’ money. They have learnt nothing, or they do not wish to learn anything.

Elites ruled, rule and will continue to rule (rather misrule) when masspeople do not carry out actions that put people in the centre - not money or power.

The time has come when the elitist paradigm should be replaced by the new humane paradigm that considers all people to have the same status. If we cannot change all the circumstances, then we must change what is possible. We must develop a new look on the world that gives rise to new action concepts, which use new weapons against the seemingly almighty elite. Then the road to a New Humane World will open.

I am grateful to **Prof. Dr. Leo Rebello**, my erudite friend from India, for editing my manuscript so meticulously, for writing an excellent Foreword, and 21 profound quotations to heighten the value of each of my 21 chapters, and publishing this book on priority basis in spite of his busy schedule. I am also thankful to his talented son **Robin** for designing this book, including the beautiful cover.

This book gives new ideas and answers. However, suggestions are welcome, to make it a more powerful document of change.

I offer to my readers, friends and relatives – Unity prayers, as we have another big war to fight against poverty, disease, ignorance, artificial imbalance and inequality imposed on us by the morally decadent, spiritually inferior elite persons.

Joost van Steenis
downwithelite@gmail.com
<http://members.chello.nl/jsteenis>

Amsterdam, May 7, 2009.

**WHY DO WE WANT
TO CHANGE OUR WORLD?**

- A few horrifying facts

*From the Heart chakra let love and light flow
And guide all little human wills.
May you know and serve with purpose
And let light descend on Earth.
Dr. Leo Rebello*

More than nine million children die each year before they have reached the age of five. Many humans do not have the human right to a decent and long-lasting life.

One in a thousand women die during delivery in some parts of the developing world while in Europe only one in a hundred thousand women dies in this way. In Europe seven babies out of every thousand new-born die but in the poorest countries ten times as many newborn die. Very sad because most of these deaths are avoidable.

These few horrendous facts must already compel us to create a New Humane World in which all people have the same status, the same right to live. But to have a happy life is for many human beings a remote and unfulfilled wish.

There is much more misery. The unnecessary killings in the many violent conflicts mostly caused by nationalistic, expansionist, greedy or world-controlling motives..... The impossibility to eradicate hunger, poverty, avoidable illnesses, discrimination (in the first place of women and minority people) or subordination has to be looked into. The imprisonment of millions of people, the destitute situation of the elderly, the sick or the handicapped, likewise, has to be dealt with.

Many thousands of excellent books describe the inhuman side of our world. These books all have the same diagnosis, our world is very sick. Alas, all

these books are only analysing the sick world. The message is always that “they” are failing. I miss what we can do.

Analysts are trapped inside the framework of the present system, they want better leaders, the United Nations to interfere, the United States to stop the war in Iraq and Afghanistan. They hardly speak about the personal responsibility. They have no ideas on what common people could do – besides begging leaders to behave differently.

Masspeople must use new autonomous methods to combat the power of the elite. They should use new kinds of weapons and not copy the methods and the weapons the elites use to stay in power. These methods and weapons are all based on a surplus of money. The top has much more money than all masspeople together.

You can never win battles when you use primitive weapons against sophisticated arms. That is tried time and again and too many masspeople have died in these struggles. Masspeople have to use their own weapons and choose their own place and the time to undermine the power of the elite is now. They must use methods that are not based on money but on the ideas, that living people and not dead buildings exert power over other people.

The world is sick and will remain sick when we do not do something quite different from what we have done in the past. We need a new therapy to make our world healthy. To get this healthy New World we must reject all solutions that only aim to change the world slowly, gradually or in evolutionary manner. We want to see tomorrow the beginning of a New World. Therefore, new, radical and swift solutions are needed to eradicate this profound illness.

Millions of children dying of malnutrition, thousands of mothers dying during delivery, the senior citizens dying uncared for - these are enough reasons to look for new ways to change our world. It is not necessary to convince people that it is wrong to let children die, to kill people in other countries, to imprison one in three American blacks, to amass a fortune while others

do not even have three meals a day. People know that already but they do not know what to do. We do not need more arguments. The knowledge of poor people's misery must be sufficient. Even a thousand books full of horrifying facts cannot persuade powerful people to change their behaviour.

Powerful people who disturb the life of other people to maintain and extend their own privileged and prosperous life cannot be left undisturbed. Why are these powerful people not solving primary problems about food and health so that all humans have the chance to live an agreeable life? Because leading people have two sets of laws, one for the masses and one for their own circle.

People take decisions, not governments, businesses or organisations. Select few decide how other people have to live. They neither listen nor change their behaviour when we only ask or even beg for a change by using democratic instruments as petitions, demonstrations or elections.

I propose to point our arrows directly at powerful elitepeople who intrude in the lives of other people. Masspeople in subordinate positions should never be targeted. That is one of the mistakes of political parties and organisations. They criticize masspeople and hardly give attention to people with power who maintain the often-deadly inequality in our world. I will add nothing to discussions between leftists and rightists, between progressive and conservative people because they avoid the power problem and are opposed to attacks on real leaders who make our world nearly unliveable.

Masspeople know that the world is not ideal and that the greatest burden comes on their shoulders. They all want another world in which everyone has the same status and in which seemingly unsolvable problems will be solved. Such a New World never comes into being when masspeople continue fighting each other. Change is only possible when masspeople concentrate all their energy on powerful elitepersons who are the cause of most of our problems.

At the outset, before we venture to bring about change, the realisation should dawn that not the system, but the dead institutions or organisations, the government, the banks or the multinationals are the root cause why our world is insane. Living Powerful elitepeople take decisions masspeople have to accept. The suggestion that elections give common citizens some power is refuted a long time ago. Many masspeople admit this and do not participate anymore in elections. A New World does not come around by electing a new batch of arrogant representatives.

People in higher places decide everything. Do not adore them, do not cheer them but think of how you can force them to behave in a way that all people have a decent life, live ninety years, have children who get a good education, medical treatment when necessary, a nice job etc. - just normal things that are the first conditions for a life which is worth living. Without the basic conditions that secure a long life, humans lose much of their humanity.

Why should this be impossible? Why cannot all people have a decent life? I propose a new way to reach a New World, so that humanity enters a New Era in which all people have an interesting, free and happy life. A New World that is not anymore based on power and money but on the idea that all humans have the same status.

A few people, the Happy Few, are the cause that our world is not so nice. During the transformation period not only the minds of leaders will have to change but also the minds of subordinated masspeople who are active against the usurpers. Only when we change our thinking, will we get another kind of world.

People have to become active in such a way that privileged people cannot exist anymore. When leading people lose their power, subordinated people, secondary people, *Untermenschen* will cease to be subordinated. Our present world is not eternal, another world is possible. Mighty Chinese and Arab Empires crumbled away. A single human such as Copernicus could cause a change that corroded the foundations of the almighty Roman

Catholic Church. Anything can change but then we must stop fighting each other, we have done that too long. We have to undermine the power of people who do not want to change, who do not want to listen to the wishes of ordinary masspeople.

Greedy leaders who cannot make the world liveable for all humans have never felt any pressure from below. They are not hindered by ethical principles about the impact of their decisions on common citizens. Their own kind is hardly influenced by those decisions. They are not fighting in Iraq or Afghanistan, they are not disturbed by lack of food or ridiculous prices of oil, food, housing, education and medical services. Leaders live far away from masspeople and listen only to the also privileged people around them. They take decisions that please in the first place their own group. They do not use their power to save the nine million kids dying prematurely or other misery.

I was born in 1938 and I have seen many simple things that should not be. Everyone has his experiences, his astonishment about events that should not be. How can it be possible that children work for fifteen or more hours a day to make the stuff we can buy in our shops? How is it possible that women in some poor parts of the world only see a way out of their misery by becoming prostitutes? How is it possible that people are so miserable that they kill themselves in a suicidal attack on other people? How is it possible that a country like Bangla Desh is time and again flooded because there is no money to build a few dikes?

The diagnosis is clear but a therapy is needed. The purpose of this book is to disturb the people and the ideas that now reign the world. These ideas are based on the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons by which Elitepeople use their Power to get more Money than anyone else. Without the Holy Trinity a New World can come into being in which all people have the same status.

**THE ELITIST AND
THE HUMAN PARADIGM**

- The need for a paradigm shift

*Inventiveness, Ingenuity and Creativity are all meant
for essentially looking at and doing things differently.*

*The ultimate aim being to bring
peace, progress and prosperity for all.*

- Dr. Leo Rebello

The present world is based on the elitist paradigm of the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons by which Elitepersons have usurped the Power to get more Money than anyone else.

Maybe you get some improvement by denouncing what is wrong in the world but the basic situation that the Happy Few have the power to get always more than the less fortunate will not change unless we take a close look at the persistent problems. A New Society needs new values, new principles, and a new human paradigm that considers all people having the same status. We need a paradigm shift!

“Failure of existing rules is a prelude to a search for new ones”, wrote Thomas Kuhn in his book “The Structure of Scientific Revolutions”. Though Kuhn analysed only changes in science, the statement is as valid for everything. The problems of climate change, hunger, misery, violent conflicts..... Such problems cannot be solved when the world continues to be dominated by the elitist paradigm.

There is no solution for the present financial crisis within the realm of the elitist paradigm. The same people who caused the crisis propose solutions by restoring production and increasing profits (by getting more masspeople to work). In this way the next crisis is already waiting around the corner.

What happens to masspeople or to mother *Gaia* is secondary. The people at the top of the (financial) world still get huge salaries, big bonuses and a life in 5-star hotels with expensive dinners, very luxurious work places,

the benefit of huge amounts of money thrown away in sponsoring sports or arts, and the excessive money spent on private safety. Elite persons continue to live in a separate and prosperous elite world, occasionally throwing crumbs in the name of charity or corporate social responsibility to help the poor.

Everything continues to turn around money. Tax money that is used to correct mistakes made by greedy and incapable leaders is just another proof that the top keeps what it has, more money than anyone else. The elite is driven by the dominating elitist paradigm that the elite was, is and shall be the leading force in the world. Living masspeople will remain inferior to dead money unless we do something special.

In the course of time the elitist paradigm penetrated into the mind of all people. Political organisations tried to soften the sharp edges of our society but abandoned any thought about a new kind of society based on another paradigm. Change has become difficult because of the paradigm paralysis, the refusal to see beyond the current models of thinking. To change the world it is imperative that the elitist paradigm is replaced by a human paradigm, whereby the pivotal function of money is replaced by according the central place to masspeople who should have the same status. 'Money reigns' thinking or 'conspicuous consumption' pattern must give way to simple living and high thinking and philosophical outlook that there is more to life than eating, dressing, showing off and exploiting people. Media should not give so much importance to mammon, but to people, their struggles, their aspirations, their vision. Unnecessary products should not flood the market to create false needs. For example, oil is needed to run factories, cars, other machines. But something is terribly wrong when the price of oil goes skyrocketing in one year, from 90 to 150 dollar a barrel and then comes down to 40 dollar. This is called price fixing and the huge profits of this price manipulation go directly into the pockets of an astonishing small number of people.

The old and the new paradigm are not compatible. And solutions too are fundamentally different. But we waste so much of our precious time by criticising without getting at the crux. Maybe you can change something, maybe not 800 billion but 600 billion of your money is spent to correct the

greedy wrongdoings of the top but the next greedy people are already coming forward. Just as J. Pierpont Morgan profited from the crisis in 1929 other Morgans will now profit from the present crisis – and what happens to masspeople is not important.

Humans aren't machines or robots; they are evolved animals that can use their brain to find new ways to live. The life of other animals is to a great extent regulated by instinct, biological rhythms and the daily necessity to care for shelter, food and families. These items are also important for humans but the human brain makes it possible to act independently of the Forces of Nature.

All activities to change society must be concentrated on how people think. What happens in our brain is connected with what happens in our world; practice and theory are two sides of the same thing. Most of what we do is guided by what happens in our brain and all change must start in the thought world, must start with what occurs in the brain. You must wonder why people in leading positions do what they do. Then you arrive at the conclusion that their activities are conspired and directed by the dominating elitist paradigm. When the mind of leaders is not changed, efforts to change the world will be in vain.

We need a paradigm shift from "this world is for the select few", to "this world belongs to all". Then as the World Social Forum says, "Another World is Possible" will become a reality. Once the masspeople become conscious of the fact that the new paradigm is better than the old paradigm and the shift gives them an opportunity to govern their own lives, the elite persons would, ipso facto, find it more and more difficult to continue to live in their exclusive, prosperous and privileged eliteworld.

It is not so important how society is organised. The system (be it anarchism, capitalism, communism, fascism, free market, fundamentalism, socialism *etcetera*) is inferior to the ideas. The organisation of the present society changes only when new ideas replace old ones.

Some well-meaning people experiment sometimes with new ideas, but rarely do they challenge the dominating paradigm. An experiment with a

cooperative association in Holland (at the beginning of the twentieth century), started by writer Frederick van Eeden, lasted for about ten years. The commune, called Walden after the famous book of Henry David Thoreau, failed because the influence of the outside world (the elitist paradigm that puts money central) penetrated the colony. The idealistic paradigm of Van Eeden based on the idea that people should work as they could and take what they needed could not be realised. In the end the colony went down because debts to outside parties became too big – money was the ultimate reason that the colony could not continue to exist.

It is senseless to discuss if Indian capitalism is better or worse than Chinese communism (regarding masspeople) because then you discuss the application of the elitist paradigm in different countries in different applications. Though China gives masspeople more chance to be educated and to be fed than India, both systems are dominated by the elitist paradigm that says control people so that even if they realise they will not be able to do much damage to the elitist citadel.

What the masses need to realise is that with a few dollars thrown as crumbs in the name of charity, it is not going to diminish hunger, unnecessary deaths and curable illnesses. What they need to demand is that till the masses who live in shanties have adequate housing, enough to eat, pure water to drink (and hence water distribution should not be privatised), sufficient clothes to wear, the malls displaying lingerie and lipsticks will not be allowed. More than the television in every bedroom, and car for every member of the family or multiple cell phones, what we need is affordable housing, security and safety, education for all, health for all.

When we conclude that paradigms live in the minds of people and that the dominating paradigm gives power to elitepeople, then the power of elitepeople should be undermined by influencing the mind of elitepeople. Masspeople have to take care that elitepeople cannot profit anymore from their elitist paradigm. They must be prevented from living their exclusive lives in the secluded eliteworld. At the same time the new human paradigm must give masspeople hope in a new society based on equality, fraternity and justice for all. What elites have to realise is that they too will sink in the quicksand of disaster if they do not mend their selfish nature.

3

LEADERS ARE DOING THEIR BEST
- to make their own world better

*We have forgotten our origin,
we have forgotten our aim, we have forgotten our goodness,
we have forgotten how to oppose,
we have forgotten how to re-build.*

We need to now revitalise ourselves to do all this.

- Dr. Leo Rebello

There are no better leaders; there are only better paradigms. People often complain that (political) leaders are not good enough; they want better leaders. Sometimes, leaders like Kennedy, Lincoln and Obama are adored, but many leaders are criticized and Bush is even branded as the worst president ever. All attention is drawn to what the new leader is going to do. But when the new leader leaves his post it is obvious nothing really changed. To concentrate on only one leader leaves other leaders free to preserve and extend their safe and nice eliteworld.

I do not want to target one political leader but wish to put a continuous pressure on many leaders at different levels by invading the eliteworld so that elitepersons cannot live anymore their privileged life in their prosperous eliteworld.

People who still believe that the democratic system can be improved wanted to impeach Bush because of the lies he used to invade Iraq. What would have happened after the impeachment? Who would have replaced Bush? Cheney?

What about all the other leaders who endorsed Bush to start this war? Should Hilary Clinton also be impeached? No, she became foreign minister, one of the many people engaged by Obama who favoured the Iraq War.

Most leaders are not bad; they just do what they are asked to do. A CEO of a multinational company must make as much profit as possible. That he

is involved in corruption, child labour, sweat shops, mass sackings, low wages, ridiculous high incomes for the top, etc. is subordinate to the goal: make as much profit as possible.

Likewise, the first goal of political leaders is to improve the climate in which the elite can thrive; to them masspeople are of secondary importance. They only give attention to the masses to ensure that they do not revolt. Once the masses are controlled, the elite is free to do what it wants to do.

The credit crisis has shown that politicians are secondary leaders. With tax money they are allowed to repair what higher leaders (back seat drivers) have done wrong. An important part of this money will directly go into the pockets of bankers and shareholders who caused the crisis. While politicians use elections to retain their posts, higher elitepeople who are never elected, do not feel any pressure from below.

Leaders do what they are ordered to do and they do that quite well. In the last decades the income of the already rich have increased much more than the income of the masspeople. But the benefits hardly percolate down to the masspeople. The economic boom was, in the first place, booming for the already better situated.

Do not try to get better leaders, do not adore seemingly nice and sympathetic men, but look at their goals. Tear their masks, analyse their words and actions and you will see that leaders are of the same stock, they work hard to fulfil their prime duties assigned to them by the powers that be who operate from behind the screen.

Winston Churchill, the legendary English statesman, told in 1910 a group of Eugenetics that Britain's 120.000 feeble-minded persons (the mentally abnormal, paupers and other parasites) should, if possible, be segregated under proper conditions so that their curse died with them and was not transmitted to future generations. The plan called for the creation of vast colonies. Thousands of Britain's unfit would be moved into these colonies to live out their days. This sick man also said: "The unnatural and increasingly

rapid growth of the feeble-minded and insane classes, coupled as it is with a steady restriction among all the thrifty, energetic and superior stocks, constitutes a national and race danger which is impossible to exaggerate. I feel that the source from which the stream of madness is fed should be cut off and sealed up before another year has passed".

In countries such as Sweden, France and the United States, many masspeople were sterilised. Members of the elite were of course excluded from this destiny. They were seen as thrifty, energetic and superior. There were many feeble-minded people in England but scores more lived in countries that had no connections with the English race. How the English treated people in their colonies were in full agreement with the ideas of Churchill; inferior people whose lives were unimportant populated those countries.

You could say it happened in the past. But is the present any different? The present leaders only formulate their ideas, not so clear and straightforward as Churchill did.

Why did the Americans stop the Vietnam War? Not because of the more than one million dead Vietnamese, but because fifty thousand American soldiers died.

Why do American leaders not stop the War in Iraq? Because only a few thousand Americans have died? For them, hundreds of thousands dead Iraqis do not count.

Can we take a break and ask these questions to straighten our thinking? Why are Western social laws not implemented in factories in the developing world that produce articles we buy in the West? Are masspeople in those countries, of inferior stock? Why is the situation of many masspeople in Sudan not improved while in the meantime weapons are exported to and oil is imported from this country? Is it because Sudanese masspeople are of even more inferior stock than Sudanese leaders? Why is the problem of the more than nine million kids that die each year before they have

reached the age of five, not solved? Because they are not thrifty, energetic and superior?

You can also wonder why the drugs problem is not solved. The Taliban get about 100 million dollars a year from this trade (how do they know?) but in the West hundreds of billions of drugs dollars (nobody knows how much!) are entering the economic system. Could it be that most of this money improves the situation in the eliteworld?

Why are the 100 million dollar (peanuts!) the Taliban get from trading drugs highlighted? What happens in the financial centre in London is more important. In 2007 each of four thousand and two hundred senior bankers took home bonuses in excess of one million euros. In the whole London City bonuses reached a total of twenty four billion euros, a huge amount compared to a profit of only thirty three billion euros for all British banks together. In 2008, the financial crisis had already started. American bankers got more than eighteen billion dollar bonuses besides other emoluments as the one million dollar to refurbish the room of one of the bankers.

In their own countries elitist leaders take to a certain height the welfare of their own people into account. But the ultimate purpose of all decisions is always the well-being of what Hitler has called the *Übermensch*, a kind of superior person, the elite.

Some of the present leaders are good, they care for their flock, they ensure that the situation of this group is preserved and extended. Better leaders who will take decisions in agreement with the idea that all people have the same status will, in the present system, never get a powerful position. The ultimate purpose that governs our world is always the same. The elite, that regards elitepeople as superior people, was, is and must be on top of the world.

But this is an analysis; it solves nothing. You have to understand the present world a little but do not lose yourself in endless discussions how bad the world is or how a better world will look. That world will not come

around when masspeople do not act as autonomous beings. All improvement because of actions of masspeople have up till now been too little, too late and too slow. Actions never undermined the Centre of Power that is located in the eliteworld. The division of our world in an elite and a massworld is never disturbed. Hence, the masses must rise, arm themselves with proper knowledge and watch over the machinations of these manipulators.

Maybe masspeople voted – but afterwards they are dissatisfied whom they have voted for. Maybe they organised strikes but afterwards they are dissatisfied with the result because bosses get more than the strikers. Maybe they signed petitions but do not follow up on what happened to their petitions. Maybe they gave money to help masspeople who were struck by a tsunami but afterwards they hear that people who were not damaged by the catastrophe pocketed half of that money. Maybe they demonstrated against nuclear weapons. In 1981, half a million did that in Holland and they heard a leader say there was no place for nuclear weapons in the country. This leader became later prime minister and after twenty-five years there are still nuclear weapons in Holland. Maybe they took part in discussions with the town council but afterwards they did not notice any result of their arguments and wishes. Maybe they voted Labour but the differences in incomes of higher placed people and workers only became bigger.

Masspeople have to do something different, something special. They need to look at and investigate as to what happens behind their backs. Because, often things are not what the masses at large think or see. Till power relations change, nothing is going to change. The true understanding of events, both historical and modern, is therefore crucial to the awareness, future development and spiritual upliftment of the human condition. Only then a new humane world will be ushered in. Or it will be *status quo ante*, as usual, Internet revolution notwithstanding

**CORRUPTION AND GREED
ARE ELITIST ILLNESSES**

- The use of power to get more

*Corruption is the Cancer that cons the community leaders into
Church-going choragi and corrodes
even the collective consciousness.*

We now need Choragi of Conscious Change.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Greed and corruption are two sides of the same phenomenon. Widespread corruption shows that everything is determined by money. High-placed people want more money than they can spend in a thousand years. Do they want twenty houses, five aeroplanes, three yachts and forty cars? Do they want more very expensive gadgets, which a common being cannot distinguish from fake ones? Because of the financial crisis some lost billions – so what? What did they want to do with these billions anyway? Still they want more money, these sick people decide over our life. We live in a sad world.

Corruption is the use of power and money to get more for one's own group. This definition of corruption is similar to the description of the elitist paradigm, in which elitepersons have the power to get more money than anyone else. Corruption is an integral part of the financial system; it belongs to the essence of the elitist society. It is quite logical that when the top of society is corrupt, corruption will also deeply infiltrate the mind of masspeople.

In the massworld most corruption is illegal, masspeople cannot use the law for their benefit. The elite has the power to amass money within the boundaries of the law. In Western countries most corruption at the top of society is legalised because the elite decides what is legal and what is illegal. Elitepersons can "earn" ten, fifty, hundred or even more millions a year even if this income is hardly related to the amount of work done.

Top-directors get lavish salaries because – they say – they can take the right measures in difficult situations. But when they have solved difficult problems as demanded they want bonuses bigger than their salary. They are paid twice for the same work. That is legal corruption, legalised theft.

Bernard Madoff spirited away fifty billion dollars. He was not corrupt. He manipulated financial assets in such a way that most money disappeared. What he did was not principally different from what respectable bankers did who sold sub-prime mortgages without any underlying value. They made also a lot of money.

Madoff is a criminal who embezzled a lot of money. Ordinary criminals cannot pay a bail of ten million dollars and disappear behind bars. Madoff may remain at home under electronic surveillance. You can better steal a billion dollars than one bread. In the case of Madoff it is worse than stealing a billion breads. An investor who managed a billion dollars of other people's money, in Madoff's pyramid scheme, committed suicide. A good prosecutor could blame Madoff for this death. That will not happen, Madoff is only one of the many nice gentlemen who did something that was not quite how it should be.

Madoff operated outside the law but most elitepersons remain inside the law. A newly appointed director of one of the failed American banks demanded the refurbishing of his office at the cost of one million euros.

A few thousand British bank managers gave themselves in the first four months of 2008 – the financial crisis had already started – bonuses of about 20 billion euros.

Bill Gates got in a few years tens of billions by selling (dodging monopoly laws) his products far above a reasonable price. Now he has put all his earnings in (p)harmaceuticals. In the end the European Commission fined the company five hundred million euros but the instigators who defied the monopoly laws were not punished. Greedy grabbers know how to protect their private wealth. The law is on the side of the big thieves.

You may wonder how many American politicians, including Obama, became millionaire after a few years in office. In my country, Holland, many politicians have paid jobs outside their parliamentary work. Their job as a Representative of the People does not demand all their time. After they leave office, many of them get high paid jobs elsewhere.

Madoff is interesting because of how he got the money. “He was a nice, respectable, civilised and trustworthy gentleman”. In other words, he was one of us; he belonged to the Happy Few, to “Our Kind of People”, to the elite. Those people cannot do anything wrong, you can trust them. Indeed, elite persons can be trusted to canalise vast amounts of money to their own group. Only Madoff let the money go up in thin air and in his own pocket. Why should you suspect a respectable gentleman, a great philanthropist, of felony? By the way, philanthropists are people who have so much money at their disposal that it is easy to give some of it away – and gain the esteem of the people around them.

The people who caused the present financial crisis are the same kind of people as Madoff. They are respectable gentlemen and thus it was not necessary to control what they were doing. They did their job; the income of the elite was increasing many times more than the income of the mass people. Such clever, well-educated respectable people could not undermine the foundations of the system that gave the elite its surplus of power and money. Because so much money was coming in, the old elite forgot you have to control what newcomers do and suddenly it was too late to interfere. When you disregard how top people amass still bigger fortunes you cannot stop it anymore. The greed of elite people caused the crisis. A few people got more while production did not grow at the same speed. Selling houses when you know people cannot pay the mortgage is only one of the pyramid schemes that are promoted by the elitist paradigm that money must flow to the top of society.

The cause of the financial crisis is the elitist paradigm. Dutch prime-minister Balkenende did not understand anything when he said that “The system of perverse financial incentives must be adapted”. But the whole world is

based on financial incentives and a small modification to get much more than in the past does not make much difference. The principle is wrong. Despite his words, the prime-minister still obeyed the elitist paradigm when he appointed a new CEO to a nationalised bank. The salary of this CEO was perverse; five times the salary of the prime minister and with a bonus when the bank should again be privatised. Bonus? But bonuses were just one of the reasons of the financial crisis, one of the perverse financial incentives. Balkenende does not understand that legalised elitist corruption is the prime cause of the financial crisis. These excesses are tantamount to failure. Instead, if the premier had thought of parity principle of same job, same pay, he would have pioneered change. He should have concentrated on human incentives, but he was so poisoned by the money-virus that citizens were pushed to the second place. The premier forgot that he was elected by the masses and it is their welfare that he should first think of, because they are his employers, not the elites.

In the course of years corruption penetrated everywhere in society. The use of power to reach a financial goal (whether the goal is honourable or not is not important) is a direct consequence of the dominating influence of the elitist paradigm in which everything revolves around money, power and “respectable gentlemen”. The tens of thousands highly paid lobbyists who influence legislators do not only use arguments, they also use money. Many legislators end up in well-paid jobs in lobbying organisations when they stop being a Representative of the People. That is legalised corruption.

By corruption you not only get more money but also things you can hardly buy with money, such as special places where elite persons meet each other without being disturbed by ordinary mass people. Most members of golf clubs that demand an entry fee of a few hundred thousand euros have not paid for this contribution out of their own pocket. The nicest places at the world famous Amsterdam Royal Concertgebouw Orchestra are reserved for privileged people who also do not pay. Corruption has not only to do with money but also with the honour for people higher up to be seated in the best places – everything of course paid by someone else.

Corruption in sport, the use of power and money to get something for people who already have enough money, has become normal. Where Olympic games are held, is often illegally influenced. Sport sponsoring is quite normal and remains mostly within the boundaries of the law. But when you use the definition of corruption from the Oxford Dictionary, then moral deterioration is in sponsoring common practice. Vast amounts of industrial money are channelled to sport events for the pleasure of the already privileged under the cover that sport sponsoring increases production.

To develop new cars and engines it should be imperative to take part in the world of motor sport. Because of the credit crunch, Subaru, Suzuki and Kawasaki stopped their motor sponsoring, Mitsubishi withdrew from the Dakar rally and Honda from Formula One Racing. In Japan it is less done to throw away four hundred million euros a year on the playgrounds of the millionaires when you have to sack thousands of workers. Directors and important guests will not travel anymore to Monaco or other exotic places to attend the trials. The credit crunch is affecting the cosseted world of Grand Prix Racing where you never find any massperson. The Dutch ING-bank already followed Honda because money spent on Sport is wasted money though it gives industrial leaders a lot of pleasure. It is hardly necessary for the development of new cars and engines for the common man.

All sports will be affected by the financial crisis. General Motors cancelled its contract with Tiger Woods (does he need still more money?); the sponsoring was obviously not necessary for selling more cars. In the near future the ridiculous salaries of sportsmen will also go down – up till now it did not matter how much was paid, the money was taken from the revenues of multinationals – tax deductible of course. But the money is running out.

Governments everywhere in the world are printing money to keep the illusion of progress going but the money stream to the top is disturbed – and also masspeople will get less in the coming years. It has been a pyramid

game. Holland had the first pyramid scheme with the Tulip Mania in 1635 when a single tulip bulb was sold for 35.000 euros (present day value). Even then the government interfered but Dutch commerce did not recover for many years.

In a pyramid game, the prices for offered products do not stand in any reasonable relation to revenues. Early investors are paid with money from later investors and not from real profits. Sellers of these products are respectable gentlemen like Madoff. Greed, that is propagated by elitist paradigm, closes the mind.

The tulip mania bubble burst in six weeks but it concerned only one product. Now society is more complex and it takes more time before the bubbles burst. But the principle is the same as with the tulip, selling products for prices that have nothing to do with the intrinsic value. It happened a few years ago with the dot.com-bubble. It should have taken many years before real profit could have been made. Now it happens again with all these people who spend money on things that have nothing to do with the product they are making – Formula One for example or bonuses that reached to the sky.

For many years people were lured into buying new cars even when the old ones were still pretty good. It is not necessary to buy every other year a new car. But leaders of the advertisement industry earn a lot of money by telling fairy-tales about what people should buy. That is also corruption, moral deterioration. With lies and half-lies people are persuaded to buy articles they do not need, to buy products that hardly differ from the old ones.

Suddenly the bubble blows up. Suddenly people realise they do not need a new car because the old one will last another few years. They realise they have been deceived by car producers to buy new cars while their life does not change when they continue to use the old one.

In the past, industrial leaders were interested in making the best product, now they only want to make money. People at the top are not anymore capable to lead complex banks; they are only capable to fill their own pockets. That is corruption. But still they are powerful; none of the greedy bankers has been put into prison. Even worse, in the Board of Directors of the failed banks you still find the same people who caused the crash. Politicians who should represent the interests of the people do not throw these corrupt persons out. The political and financial world are intertwined, they are two sides of the same idea – how to get as much money as possible. For real change we need the only independent force in the world there is, masspeople who act without being controlled by elitepeople.

The elitist paradigm is the only reason that the bubble from the Tulip Mania is repeated. Everything turns around money and not around the underlying value of products or the interests of common people. The elitist paradigm promotes greed, the “right” of the top to use its power to get more and more and more.

Corruption is everywhere. The auction house Sotheby is part of the corruption game. They just increased the minimum value of offered products from 1200 to 4000 euros. Which people can afford to buy regularly articles of more than 4000 euros? Yes indeed, corrupt people who have money like water.

The art world is hit by the financial crisis that put a brake on a lot of corruption. Art houses lost clients and went bankrupt. Who really paid 140 million euros for two hundred new works of Damien Hirst? Corrupt practices.

Higher placed politicians get good salaries but they are always looking for more. Obama got two million dollar advance money for a book, the same as Laura Bush. Hilary Clinton got eight million and her husband even twelve million. Why should the inauguration of Obama – during the financial crisis – cost 150 million dollar, four or five times as much as the inauguration of Bush or Clinton? Money is never a problem in higher circles.

Sarah Palin got for the few months trying to become vice-president, 150,000 dollars for clothes and later eleven million dollars as an advance for a book written by a ghost writer.

These people cannot be trusted to represent the interest of masspeople; they are completely occupied by getting as much money as they can.

Members of the Nobel Prize Committee are also bribed periodically. Who pay these bribes? Of course the directors of organisations that expect some revenue from the deal.

Soccer clubs sell shirts for outrageous prices. Who benefits from this money? Why are these shirts not sold for a normal price? The power of sport leaders over sport fans is big but also this bubble can burst. Maybe because of the financial crisis football fans will realise they have been taken for a ride.

Just wonder what these people do with all these corrupt millions. Some buy a settee for three million euros in an auction; others buy for tens of millions, yachts they only use once a year; or a painting of Van Gogh for a hundred million disregarding that this World Heritage should be available for everyone to admire. I even know of someone who bought a house on the beach, not to live in but for a secure parking place when he wanted to go to the beach.

Corruption is not human. To demand a bonus on top of your lavish salary, when you just do your work, is outrageous. It is moral deterioration when the CEO of Fortis still demanded a bonus after the bank went bankrupt.

Everything is caused by the elitist paradigm that penetrated in the farthest corners of our society. Therefore we have to get another paradigm, a human paradigm that considers all people having the same status.

ELITE PEOPLE RUN THE SHOW!

- Masspeople are secondary citizens

*As long as the millions live in misery,
I hold every Elite worse than bloodsucking leeches.
As we learn in Ayurveda (science of life) leeches
at least help the patient to recover by sucking bad blood.
Dr. Leo Rebello*

Fifteen thousand soldiers are buried near Verdun in the North of France. They died in the First World War. On a prime place is the grave of the only general that died. You will probably not find the remains of another general between the bones of the eighty thousand soldiers in the Ossuary nearby.

The poorer low-lying parts of New Orleans were flooded in 2005. Masspeople took the greatest burden of the devastating hurricane Katrina, the richer higher parts of the city were better protected against the incoming water. Afterwards it was easier for richer people to get support than for poorer people.

After the devastating tornado in Burma (Myanmar) in 2008, leaders were hardly interested in helping their masspeople. They played their own games (planning a kind of elections) in safe places far from the destruction in the South. In the financial crisis some people lost billions but others do not have any money left to buy food.

These few examples illustrate the immense differences between the rich and the poor, between elite and masses. Eliteworld and massworld are far apart.

Five star hotels, private planes, huge mansions, a private serving staff, shopping in foreign countries where only items are bought that are stamped with a brand that guarantees that they are very expensive and exclusive - poorer people are not even allowed to buy a copy of these extravagancies.

In the sport, the art and in many other fields, the places of honour are reserved for the Happy Few who dine and party with each other often accompanied by the jet set. The sex world of the elite is also influenced by money – you will not find elitepersons in red light districts.

From their early childhood, elitepeople are building a network within the eliteworld by attending exclusive schools and universities and becoming members of the country's most exclusive social clubs and resorts.

This concept is strengthened by the way in which the past (the elitist ancestors) and the future (the elitist progeny) are combined with the present (the present elite that increases its capital from the past so that future generations have even more power). And of course by the binding force of Money and Power the elite knows how to protect its exclusive life in the exclusive eliteworld. It all serves the ultimate purpose of the elite to preserve and extend its privileged domain.

Masspeople live by the day; the past and the future are mostly absent in their daily life.

With their surplus of money the elite has reserved the best parts of the earth for their own group. The privileged and prosperous eliteworld differs very much from the often harsh massworld. The inhabitants of the eliteworld do not fear they will lack food, housing, medical care, jobs, education, transport, etc. You can only settle in this eliteworld when you adhere to unwritten laws, especially the rule that everything is of secondary importance to the goal to preserve and extend the eliteworld.

The elite reigns in the first place for its own benefit. In a new kind of world there should be no place for any elite – my website carries indeed the title “Down with any Elite”, <http://members.chello.nl/jsteenis>.

Many people have written about the special place of elites in our world but my concept that our earth is separated into two different worlds is fairly new. Most people still think that all people live in the same world. They are wrong.

I am not fighting windmills; it is possible to get a world without elites. Elites have always existed and when elites were sometimes driven out, they were replaced by new elites, a mechanism a hundred years ago described by the Italian Gaetano Mosca as the “Circulation of Elites”. Though elites circulated in the past, there is no reason that it will also happen in the future. Humans are the only living beings that can produce completely new ideas because of their advanced brain, their ingenuity, their originality, their creativity and their autonomy. They do not have to look at the past to know what should be possible in the future. Columbus did not look at the past and still he found America; the Vietnamese neither looked back and they conquered the mighty American army. Humans can achieve the impossible because they are human!

If we dump the elites and design a new humane paradigm, it should be possible to create a New World without tears.

To get a world without elites two questions have to be answered. Who belongs to the elite and what can you do against the separation of elite and masspeople. This separation is the greatest obstacle in creating a New World in which all people would enjoy the same status and a decent life.

Elitepersons think they are a “superior people”. Leaders in high functions who do not belong to the elite also have this idea. There are some differences between leaders and elitepersons though some members of the elite (Bush for example) are also public leaders. Leaders belong to the executive part of the leading class. In the more important legislative power, that safeguards the principles which give the elite its dominating power, you find nearly only elitepersons.

Because most leaders want to belong to the highest elite they do what they think elitepeople should do. They are often more rigorous in preserving the eliteworld than elitepeople themselves. The differences between leaders and elitepersons are smaller than between leaders and masspersons and I will use the words leader and eliteperson interchangeable, also to avoid discussions about who is an eliteperson and who is “only” a leader.

Elitepersons know from their birth that they live in another world. They form not only a group of privileged people; they have a special way of life. When this way of life is not anymore possible then the road to a New World will be wide open. Most well known leaders have climbed up to this eliteworld and they have the same driving force, getting more than anyone who lives in the massworld. One of the action targets is that elitepersons must be prevented to continue to live in the quiet, prosperous and privileged eliteworld. It must be prevented that people can benefit from the fact that they have more power than others.

The distinction between elitepersons and leaders is not important. Elitepersons are mostly unknown. Leaders who act in public take most decisions. What happens in the backrooms of the eliteworld is never published but the greatest power can be found in the centre of the elite world.

Bill Clinton had of course some power because he was president of the United States but he did not belong to the elite. You are not admitted to the highest circles because you only have a high function. Clinton is not a good action target. He is a well-guarded public figure and not too vulnerable to attacks from masspeople because he had to fight his way upwards. The ultimate power is held by people who see masspeople only as pawns in a chess game. These people have never been in a fight to get a higher position, have not experienced any struggle for life. Leaders will resist but elitepersons only can ask other people to protect them – and why should other people help them when only the extravagant life of an eliteperson is disturbed?

Real elitepersons are devotees of the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Blue Blood. The most powerful people in the world combine these three pivotal points and can be found with the help of the Theory of the Three F's. They have a high Function to contribute directly to the decision-making process, they have important Financial resources and they have a vast network of Family-members who are in a comparable position. They manipulate at the very top level and by the time the masspeople realise

their *modus operandi*, damage beyond repair is done. Then they misguide through the mass media and through various controls they kill, plunder, threaten, jail, subjugate and thereby prevent the spiritual progress of the society. We need to remember that Earth is the only Green Planet and it is here that we need to create the Heaven.

In the background of these people there are important 'Family Members' who stay out of sight. They control if decisions are taken in agreement with the idea that the eliteworld must be preserved and extended. Everything is subordinate to the paradigm of the Holy Trinity and the all-dominating axiom that the elite was, is and shall be the prosperous, privileged, cohesive and exclusive leading body in our world.

Of the three pivotal points of the Holy Trinity, Power and Money are dead entities. The only living part is elitepeople. Frankly, they are miniscule in numbers, but they control everything. To disturb the domination of these manipulative, repulsive elite, their life-style has to be disturbed. As Ninja Group has issued a public warning to them – improve or be prepared for decimation or annihilation.

“Our kind of People” ruled in the past, they are ruling in the present and they will also rule in the future when nothing changes. The “no future” generation that lives in the massworld only becomes active when they realise they also have a future, when they have an own human paradigm that turns around people. Socialism, communism, fascism and all kinds of religious fundamentalism offered masspeople a new and exciting future and the masses became inspired. But this future was still controlled by elitepeople, the road to this future was not built on the independent effort of masspeople but on activities that were thought of and ordered by a small group of self-appointed leaders that had to be obeyed. Those leaders formed later the kernel of the new elite. After a few years the inspiration that these new ideologies could bring a new future, dwindled away. It became evident that a new future could never be reached when the road to this future is mapped out by the same kind of people that are dominating the present life of masspeople.

A future is needed that fits the autonomous human, the only living being that is capable to act and think independently. The powers that be, time and again restrict the freedom of masspeople within clearly demarcated rules. Even within these rules the seemingly unassailable basic principle of the existence of the elite to have more in the past, the present and in the future, can be undermined.

The present financial crisis proves that the elite cannot make all people happy. The solutions that are brought forward only speak of better regulations and do not include a critical analysis of the kind of people who caused the crisis. It is not analysed that the world is dominated by the elitist paradigm that turns around Money, Power and Elitepersons that leads to greed and corruption. New ideas are necessary to get humanity out of this quagmire in which again and again crises occur that are in the first place bad for masspeople.

For a New World, all efforts of masspeople must be directed by the demand that the Holy Trinity of Elitepersons, Power and Money must be replaced by the new humane paradigm that gives all people the same status.

**PEOPLE ARE IMPORTANT,
NOT ORGANISATIONS**

Active individuals preferred over silent majorities

*We need to realize that more than the money, machines,
material, it is the manpower, which is
the most important capital in the world.*

*The entire development should therefore be people-centred.
Dr. Leo Rebello*

Doctors and nurses make people better, not hospitals. Bush started the war in Iraq, not the American government. Judges send people to prison, not the court of justice. People around the CEO of Shell pay him ten million euros a year, not the Shell. Bill Gates is the richest man in the world, not Microsoft.

People decide, not buildings, organisations or institutions. Why are demonstrations held in front of dead buildings instead of in front of houses of living people who took wrong decisions?

People are important, elitepeople as well as masspeople. The excessive ornamented churches of the past and the even more ostentatious headquarters of banks and multinationals of the present are built to convince masspeople it is useless to attack this power. But the huge dead constructions only eclipse the outlook on people behind the imposing facades who have the power to rule the world. Living people are more important than dead buildings.

Actions in front of buildings are useless. Damage will be repaired with insurance money and decision takers will not be influenced. People under pressure take different decisions than people who are free from interference from elsewhere. Elitepeople under pressure from masspeople will take more decisions that do not conform to the elitist paradigm of the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons that all decisions must favour

the eliteworld. Then masspeople will experience what it is to be free from rules set by elitepeople who live elsewhere.

In the past, industrial and agricultural work was often simple. Many people did the same work in a fixed sequence. It was hardly necessary for masspeople to use their brain or their creativity because what had to be done was planned somewhere above. Most work in the Third World is still fairly dull. In the richer countries assembly lines have practically disappeared. Masspeople must also use their brain.

In their work masspeople are more and more individualised but in the rest of society the idea still reigns that individual people should be part of big groups. In the political world leaders expect that masspeople follow their instructions as people without own ideas. But as individualism advances, participation in elections goes down and the membership of political parties is declining.

But individualism is still in its infancy. Big tourist organisations bring many masspeople at the same time to the same place where they can relax and enjoy their free time. All activities are organised somewhere else by someone else. In the action world hierarchical mass organisations prevail over independent groups of masspeople.

In demonstrations, the time, the place, the goals and even the text on the placards are decided at a central place without interference of individual masspeople. Strikes are in the same way organised and wild strikes are condemned. Organisations like Greenpeace demand money from their members. All actions are centrally organised and creativity of masspeople is disregarded.

In politics masspeople may vote once in a while but they are not involved in important political decisions. They have hardly any say which party member will be elected. A small group at the top takes decisions and does not appreciate initiatives from individual members. There is neither room for creativity nor for independent action.

Mass organisations are copies of the elitist society. The many members have hardly any influence, the few people at the top decide – mostly with much higher salaries than the people over whom they decide.

It is not possible that decisions in a country with millions of inhabitants are taken by all people. Decisions are always taken by a minority of people who are interested, involved and well-informed and who should be capable.

Leaders of multinationals, banks, hospitals and other institutions take most decisions over the life of common people outside the political sphere. Only in politics masspeople are allowed to vote about the general direction of possible decisions. But all individuality is suppressed by the democratic dogma that majority of voters (who are often hardly interested, involved or informed) decide. After elections politicians explain the vote of the masses in their own way. A vote for Labour should have been an approval for sending troops to Iraq and Afghanistan. Individual masspeople are never directly consulted on any problem whatsoever, a few individuals prepare and take decisions and the idea that masspeople should also have a say is rejected.

Minorities of active, involved and interested masspeople can be a nuisance for leaders, as majorities are obedient. The argument that a decision is right because the silent majority agrees, ignores that most people in this majority (they never count) are only silent because they are not involved, not interested, not knowing and not caring.

Trade Unions are an obvious example. The number of members of trade unions is in many sectors of industry fairly low and most members only want to profit from some advantages such as cheaper insurance premiums, that have nothing to do with negotiations about better working conditions or higher salaries. Trade union bosses speak, however, for everyone and non-members are not asked anything. Their prime task is not the improvement of working conditions but the control of any discontent of masspeople even after an exorbitant increase in the income of directors.

The vast majority of masspeople are members of mass organisations only because they get some personal profit. The leadership of one of the biggest associations in The Netherlands, the ANWB, an automobile organisation with nearly four million members, demands to be heard in all problems concerning cars because the ANWB has so many members. But most members only want some help when their car breaks down. The ANWB will lose most members when this crash help is turned over to an independent organisation. And the leaders of the ANWB would lose their importance.

It happened with the NVSH, the Dutch Society for Sexual Reform. It was an organisation with hundreds of thousands of members who in the first place wanted to buy cheap and safe condoms you hardly could buy in regular shops. When these shops also started to sell condoms, the number of members plummeted. The leaders of this club who wanted to be heard because they had a huge following had told a fairy-tale. The great majority of members were only users of condoms and were not actively involved in the ideas of the leaders.

Leaders of mass organisations, just as political and industrial leaders, have contact with their own kind of people who have a comparable education, comparable incomes, comparable houses and comparable ideas. They propagate the ideas of their own kind of people and the masses are far away. Most of these people want to have a career; they want to climb up in society. Only a few succeed and later as upward mobility continues, their children, grand children, great grand children can rise to the hierarchy of elitepersons. But in order to get closer to the eliteworld they must avoid listening to the wishes of the people from below and only obey the ideas that come from above. That is an unwritten code.

Mass organisations continue to exist even when the purpose of the organisation has been outlived. In the beginning of the twentieth century the Italian Roberto Michels advanced the Iron Law of Oligarchy. Big organisations inevitably change into organisations in which a few powerful people want to keep their privileged position. Masspeople should avoid

being restricted by hierarchical mass organisations in which they are only a number on the memberships list.

Masspeople in more developed countries have now got more free time for their family, their hobbies, and their sport or for politics. Slowly, humans outgrow the situation in which they have to work most of the time to reproduce themselves. But the big society intrudes into their private life and disturbs the life they want to live. Most people do not know what to do against this intrusion.

In the past, help was sought from political parties, action groups or trade unions. But these organisations do not fit anymore in a more individualistic society in which people stand central. Due to lack of possibilities most people just accept what happens and try to live their own life, though within the boundaries set by the elite. But sometimes enough is enough and the built-up anger of masspeople erupts.

Football hooligans do not act as they do because they have such a nice life. Spontaneous mass actions can occur everywhere, from Ireland to Greece. But these actions are not directed against leaders and mostly end in useless fights with policemen.

To maintain the separation of elite and massworld, the elites encourage masspeople to become members of big organisations over which they rule. Political parties, trade unions, and action groups, even the democratic systems are built on the idea that a small group of leaders reigns over many followers. Masspeople do not need mass organisations; do not need thinking in terms of majorities. They can use their creativity, individuality, autonomy and independent activity that distinguish them from other living beings. Individual people are the most important living beings in our world, not masses of obedient zombies.

On the road to a New World, masspeople develop these qualities by using new action methods that emphasize individuality over working in big groups. When the New World arrives, the population will exist of a new kind of proud and independent citizens.

According to natural law, people were born free.

Man-made laws have put them in fetters.

To Right the Wrongs, we need to re-write the man-made laws

Or we should stop calling ourselves as "Civil" Society.

Dr. Leo Rebello

"Our heart tells us what we should do, market prices tell us what we will do". Most humans prefer the heart to the market but the market reigns over humans. That must change.

Churchill was wrong when he defended democracy by saying: "Democracy is the worst form of government except for all those others that have been tried". There must be something better when we consider the atrocities committed in the name of democracy, such as, colonialism, wars with advanced weapons against people who merely fight with their hands, landmines, cluster bombs, lasting poverty and other misery, pollution and climate change, the existence of a very rich and powerful elite, the intolerable support of leaders who violate basic human rights. Even in the 21st Century, we have half the world, which is purportedly democratic, the remaining half is dictatorships. But even the so-called democratic countries like USA have become Demo(n)cratic and the value of human beings is very low.

Democracy by definition means "consult the people" in decision making. But once the leaders are elected they play a different tune. Instead of serving the people, they side step them or simply eliminate them. As a result, it does not make qualitative changes to people's lives. Superficial things like what car you use, how palatial is your apartment, what carpets or chandeliers are decorating your bungalows, in which upmarket or downtown areas you reside, what five star hotels you live in, whether you travel economy class or business class, decides your status. These are false parameters. To get a better world we must not look for better systems but for better ideas, people must be in the centre, the heart must reign over the market driven economic model which is a mirage.

Past systems never undermined the surplus of money and power of the leading group. After the break up of the Soviet Union one million men died due to the economic shock of the accelerated mass privatisation. A few people became immensely rich. In the new system money became even more the pivotal point. And the elite continued to live in the prosperous and privileged eliteworld.

The heart and the head of people should reign. Systems control the masses but not the Happy Few. Rules and laws dominate the interests of the Less Happy Many who have hardly any influence on what is decided by people who live somewhere far away. Two million kids die each year in democratic India before they are five years old, but Indian leaders are seen as reasonable and capable persons. The financial crisis caused by the greedy rich hits mostly masspeople who are not allowed to do anything against greedy leaders who remain free with their stolen money. India is a most corrupt country with politicians, bureaucrats and industrialists hoarding their trillions in Swiss Bank secret accounts. With that money stashed away clandestinely, India could pay off the entire World Bank loan with interest accrual in one go and also remove backbreaking poverty, illiteracy, malnutrition and save children from dying.

Trade Unions never ask the same rise in income as bosses get. The world is determined by the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons, the rest is not important. The value of elitepersons and masspersons is different. The foundation of a new society should not be based on negative ideas but on what we want. Only with new values, laid down in a new human paradigm, a New Humane Society can come into existence in which all people have the same status. You may find me repeating. But I do it deliberately, to din that into you.

We do not get a more humane society by changing systems. Not rules and laws (inherent to systems) must decide but human ideas and values should have the last word. The number of laws has grown so much that only specialised people can find their way in the juridical jungle – or those (elite) people who can pay specialists. Rules and laws are fixed items;

humans can take decisions that contradict outlived rules and laws. Systems hinder the advance of human society because they are based on the past while humans combine past, present and future.

Another system is not the answer to the question how to get a New Society; humans must reign over what is written in laws. The endless discussions in religious communities about what is right or wrong should be a warning to anyone. Old written texts prevail over the needs and the hearts of masspeople. Rules against the use of condoms – because of the interpretation of old texts by powerful Catholic leaders – contradict the wishes and feelings of masspeople who can only secretly refuse to comply with the laws of the church.

The Christian Amish do not use power-line electricity, limit the use of telephones, are not allowed to own or operate an automobile, have to dress in a specific manner and must not perform any type of military service or buy insurance. They consider the Bible as a trustworthy guide. However old rules cannot explain new developments. Even under the Amish the use of cars, electricity and batteries are sometimes allowed, for example for the disabled. But old rules have a bigger influence on their life than human needs. Rules obstruct the use of brains; prevent what people contemplate about things outside the rules. Galileo was punished because he published findings that were in contradiction to the false beliefs of the Catholic Church.

New ideas are only approved when they remain within the boundaries of the dominating paradigm. All religions are split up into multiple groups but based on the same books written in the far past. Discussions concentrate on the interpretation of old texts and not on what is reasonable in our time or what is good for people. ‘What was’ has an overwhelming influence over ‘what is’ or ‘what should be’. Not only religions obstruct the way to a better future, but ‘isms’ like communism, socialism or fascism are also dominated by what old initiators have said and written. Not the facts are important, not the interests or the ideas of contemporary humans stand central, but what has been written in the past becomes important. This situation is strengthened by rules powerful leaders distil from old writings.

For a new society we do not need old rules and laws but a new paradigm that can interpret contemporary facts. The facts from the past are secondary.

Change is not possible when rules and laws dominate ideas. The financial crisis, in the first place devastating for people at the underside of society, is therefore difficult to solve. Leading people look for solutions to the old writings of John Maynard Keynes. They cannot think outside the limits of the Holy Trinity, the well-being of common people has always been unimportant. Leaders have caused the credit crunch by using wrong ideas and they can only solve the problems by adapting new ideas. But the bankers and their servants in the political apparatus are the same people as before, the same people who did not see a crisis looming around the corner. They only reintroduced old books written after the 1929 crisis. But that crisis was not solved by the ideas in these books but by the Second World War. We know how many masspeople died by that solution.

People who caused the crisis by applying rules derived from the elitist paradigm still adhere to the market mechanism that is beneficial for their kind of people. With the same paradigm, the same old books and the same people, it will not last long before the next crisis comes around.

Leaders make the same mistakes; they learned nothing from the past. They are only inspired by power and money. Leaders refuse to abandon their privileged position. They cannot activate common people to take part in a process of renovation because then they have to give some of their benefits to masspeople. And “Our Kind of People” does not trust masspeople.

All past experiments failed. Not because leaders such as Lenin, Mao or Guevara did not trust the People but because the People were not enough inspired to use new ideas, the new paradigm had not yet penetrated their hearts. They were still too much determined by the old paradigm, according to which they were inferior people. You have to learn in practice how you can be an independent person.

Of course, Guevara was right in promoting the old Marxist idea that everyone should use what he needs. But this idea was bound to fail in a world dominated by democracy and the market. To get another world you need interested and involved people who use their heart and are active in applying the new human paradigm.

After all the revolutions, change was only temporary. People wanted to fill their stomachs. The heads remained closed for ideas about a new kind of society. That could not be changed by an idealist like Che Guevara who fought for many years in the Cuban jungle. He could not initiate a new economic system because masspeople were only interested in filling their stomachs.

Marx did not write much about society after the change. Lenin had also only vague ideas. Their heads were busy with other things. Mao had some interesting ideas but the need for bread was greater than the need to develop ideas about a new kind of society. He tried to stem the development in the wrong direction by activating people with the Great Leap Forward and the Cultural Revolution. But he could not succeed due to the lack of thinking people. Masspeople first wanted to survive.

Communist China is filling the stomachs while democratic India is filling purses (of the top of society). Both systems are wrong by neglecting the hidden energy of the masses. Both systems deny that all humans are of the same value.

Though it is difficult to think with an empty stomach, people with filled stomachs, as in some countries, also do not think much about society. Democracy is so overwhelming that it is hard to go against these dominating ideas. They just continue to do what they did in the past, fill the stomachs even more. The head and the heart remain empty. Filling stomachs seems to be the only thing of value, all other activity is canalised by the sitting powers. Masspeople become active only when they are forced to serve as cannon fodder in disputes between different groups of leaders. We have to get a paradigm that gives masspeople the possibility to become active in an independent way.

Democracy, with the ever-growing number of rules and laws, makes everybody subordinate to the system. Despite the 'one man one vote' rule common citizens do not have influence on laws and their application. The influence of masspeople on the legislature that makes the laws, the judicature that controls the working of the laws and the executive that applies the laws, is minimal. About the market mechanism, citizens have hardly any say. The system is only an instrument to apply the elitist paradigm of the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons. The word masspeople is of course absent in this paradigm because masspeople are not important. Everything is concentrated on making money, getting power and entering the nice privileged eliteworld that is far away from the often harsh massworld.

It is senseless to try to replace the democratic system or the market mechanism with another system. The fundamental ideas behind the system, the elitist paradigm, must be replaced by a human paradigm that considers all humans to have the same status.

It will take some time to introduce the new paradigm in the heart and the head of the People. But even in our moneyed society many masspeople sometimes do things because their heart tells them it is right. Seventy five percent of the old paper and carton is recycled in Holland because people want to help Nature to survive. Out of their free will they bring their used paper-to-paper containers. No market mechanism, no democracy, you do it because it is good for us, the People.

The new paradigm must penetrate into the heart and the mind of masspeople. People must realise that only the new paradigm will open the road to a New Human World. Only when people started to accept that not the earth but the sun was the centre of our solar system, progress was possible. The old and the new paradigm are incompatible; the paradigm of the heart has to conquer the paradigm of the money.

8

**THE WORLD MUST TURN AROUND
PEOPLE, NOT AROUND MONEY**

A new paradigm opens the road to a New World

*When we invest in people
We invest in a better tomorrow.
Dr. Leo Rebello*

Elitepeople are the most important part of the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepeople. Without this human factor power and money are empty words.

The world will change when elitepeople cannot collect anymore the fruits (money) from the tree of power. On the one hand elitepeople must be prevented from living a privileged life in their sheltered eliteworld, on the other hand masspeople must realise they do not have to live anymore a subordinated life in the massworld.

Elitepeople are inspired by the ambition to preserve their lifestyle and to provide their progeny with a comparable position. Masspeople are not inspired by the future. Young masspeople are full of energy. They are energized when they get a family, a new job or a new environment in another country. But these moments pass away and unconsciously they realise that they were, are and shall be powerless to influence their life and the life of their progeny. They have no ideas of a future world that brings long-lasting inspiration.

From the scientific world we know that new ideas give people new energy and inspiration. "What were ducks in the scientist's world before the revolution, will be rabbits afterwards", wrote Thomas Kuhn in his famous book "The Structure of Scientific Revolutions." He proceeded to say, "the man who first saw the exterior of the box from above later sees its interior from below." New ideas, new paradigms change the outlook of people for a long time.

We can learn from the small scientific world that change is possible when masspeople embrace new ideas. At first only a few people accept the new paradigm, it must be proven that the application of the new paradigm gives new and unexpected results. When Copernicus revealed that the earth revolved around the sun instead of the sun around the earth, scientists found newer things by applying the new paradigm. Still, it took more than a century before the paradigm was generally accepted.

I propose a new human paradigm that everyone should have the same status, to replace the elitist paradigm that stipulates that a small group of elitepeople should always have more than the big majority of masspeople and that the world turns around money.

Discussions between followers of different paradigms are nearly impossible. Any improvement of the old society is restricted by the limits set by the old paradigm. Saying that some leaders have to be removed from their high posts, that more money has to be given to the poor etc. does not change the fundamental mistakes in our society. Millions of children will continue to die before they are five years old. These serious problems cannot be solved in a society based on power and money.

Most people know that the world is not very good. To publish still more facts about social failures is futile. Knowledge is power only when you have the power to do something with knowledge. New facts do not contribute to a fundamental change. Discussions should concentrate on what has to be done. Therefore we need a new paradigm, a vague idea to which we can refer when we undertake something. Why should you discuss the old world when you want a New World? A new human paradigm in which people, instead of money, stand central, gives a new outlook on the future.

Why should we discuss who is the better president, Clinton, Bush or Obama when all American presidents defend the situation in which a small group has reserved a part of our world as a prosperous and safe place for themselves? All presidents see the massworld as an inferior world with

people that, in the first place, have to serve the interests of the rich and almighty.

The old paradigm is something from the past – and who wants to live in the past? It will take some time before a new paradigm gets momentum but the first, still primitive, ideas already give masspeople inspiration. It adds a future to a life that was futureless.

In 1859 Charles Darwin published a new paradigm, the Theory of Evolution. Hundred and fifty years later some people are still holding on to the idea of Intelligent Design while at the same time a new paradigm about the development of life is growing (www.panspermia.com). After the introduction of the Darwinist paradigm many scientists were inspired and looked into new unknown territories. Not only revolutionary scientific ideas of people like Copernicus, Darwin or Einstein inspired masspeople but the same occurred in social life by new revolutionary ideas of people like Luther, Marat, Lenin or Mao Tse Tung.

The sudden changes after the French, Russian or Chinese Revolutions inspired many people to give all they had to build a New Society. But after some years the inspiration faded away when it became evident that the New Society was not as new as promised. A new elite came into being and masspeople profited less than expected. Masspeople still had to obey orders from above. During the revolution they had followed self-appointed leaders who after the revolution reaped the best fruits for themselves. Masspeople had not changed during the transition period and remained subordinated people. When people do not change during the revolutionary process, the New Society will not differ much from the old one.

The first condition for a political catastrophe is a new paradigm, a still vague idea about a future society that differs fundamentally from the old paradigm.

A small example of the difference between the old paradigm that revolves around money and the new paradigm that revolves around people is a headline in a Dutch newspaper: “Injuries cost the industrial section football 21 million euro a year”.

The loss of money and the term industrial section are the outstanding words in the headline. It is in agreement with the present paradigm, based on the Holy Trinity. The money paradigm could lead to solutions, such as, the increase of entrance fees, the decrease of salaries or the shortening of the revalidation period of injured players. Club owners will get more profit.

The new paradigm puts humans in the first place; humans should be the pivotal point. It leads to different solutions: The medical staff should be strengthened, matches on dangerous wet fields should be cancelled, players should not play more than six matches a month, the rules of the game should be changed to minimise collisions between players etc. Then, the header in the newspaper too, will change, for example: “Each year ten percent of all footballers are seriously injured”. In the first header money stands central, in the last, people.

Because of the present crisis, production is going down. There is even a chance on deflation in which people do not want to buy because they expect that prices will go down further. Thus production diminishes even more. All solutions to the crisis are based on the idea that the Happy Few must get more money, and more production means more profit. Thus, excessive amounts of money are used to support production while that money is not available to wipe out the misery in the world.

The new paradigm that puts people in the centre leads to different solutions. Why should you produce articles that are obviously not necessary? All kinds of gadgets, new cars that hardly differ from the old ones, an advertisement industry that coerces people to buy, a real estate business that drives the prices of houses up (and the profit vanishes in the pockets of housing magnates), people like Madoff who can swindle people who believe in the elitist paradigm, etc.

The human paradigm leads to human solutions. Less production is excellent. Less pollution, a nicer environment, less stress, no rat race and more free time. Humans are not animals that live only to eat, sleep and reproduce. The benefits of the new paradigm are huge for common people – and certainly for common people in the poorer countries. Huge amounts of

money will become available. No advertisement industry, no ridiculous salaries in sport, in the entertainment business or in the media industry. No greedy bankers. Just enough money without being stressed by the idea that you will become happy only by getting more and still more money.

Masspeople have never been a pivotal point in the thoughts of leaders. Capitalism, socialism, fascism, imperialism, communism, nationalism, populism etc. all neglect the presence of people of flesh and blood. All systems resemble each other. A small group of highly placed people are the first profiteers and the big majority gets the crumbs that fall from the well-provided tables of the elite. Everywhere masspeople are worse off than elitepeople. The present paradigm advocates inequality and they call it democracy. The Iron Law of Oligarchy of Roberto Michels is also valid for political systems. Once started for the benefit of all, they are degenerated into systems that must be preserved for the benefit of leaders.

When you look from above, at the exterior of the huge institutions, multinationals, big governments, the European Union, the United Nations, they look very strong. But when you look at the interior of the same institutions you see them as hollow constructions. The financial crisis has proven that they suddenly can crumble away. That can also happen because of well-directed activities of masspeople. The power of masspeople is like a continuous stream of water drops that can destroy any stone. Even the highest leader cannot stand up against a multitude of small actions. In the beginning the leader seems to be very strong but at the end what remains is a heap of rubble.

Such developments are in contradiction to the gradual Theory of Evolution that is supported and defended by the sitting powers as the only way things can develop. To get a human that walks on two legs, talks and combines the past, the present and the future, a radical change is needed. By activating the right factors that are determined by the new paradigm, a radical jump can also occur after the last of a long series of small perturbations. Sudden change is more important than small improvements that can vanish as snow before the sun.

Change is a concentric process.

Change changes the change maker, Change changes the world.

*The only yardstick that needs to be applied is
whether the change is for good or bad.*

Dr. Leo Rebello

Suddenly the football player makes a unique movement and he is already at the other side of his opponent. Suddenly the earth moves and houses collapse.

Suddenly demonstrators throw stones at the security forces. Suddenly the girl enters a new phase in life after passing her exam. Sudden changes belong to humanity, to human behaviour. They make life more interesting than slow predictable developments. Nobody saw the bursting bubbles, from the Tulip Mania to the present financial crisis. Even in our regulated society something unexpectedly can happen, huge seemingly solid banks go suddenly bankrupt. Sudden changes belong to our society.

Sometimes – suddenly – people revolt. The Wall came tumbling down, the seemingly quiet Yugoslavia turned into a nightmare, everywhere there are smouldering fires. A burning rage caused violent mass demonstrations in France and Greece. There is no trust in the political games of the top, masspeople are full of anger and despair and sometimes they act spontaneously. But because masspeople do not know how to destroy the power of the mighty, the old rules time and again return.

You can predict the moment that someone passes an exam but you cannot predict earthquakes, tsunamis, revolutions, mobs turning violent, football players making goals. The situation changes suddenly – and not gradually – and the involved people jump into a new situation.

“Evolution does not exist” is the title of the ninth chapter of my book “The Scarists”. Radical change, the entering of a new phase in life, comes from

when you are born as a dime you never become a quarter. A new human paradigm that includes the possibility of fast changes will open an unexpected future, an unknown field that makes life more interesting.

The knowledge of students mostly increases in a gradual way though new insights in difficult concepts often come suddenly. But it is a revolution when the student passes the exams. Though his knowledge is not greater than before the student suddenly enters a new world. The situation changes revolutionary because he arrives at another plane in society. He experiences a private revolution. Human life is interesting just because of unexpected developments nobody ever dreamed of. Falling in love or divorcing are two sides of life that often occur suddenly and have a profound influence on humans.

Unexpected jumps are fun, are human, are breaking the daily routine, are making life special. Political thinking will change when people admit that jumps are normal. The former revolutionary left abandoned the idea that a revolution was needed to get a society in which all people should have the same status. After gradually getting more votes they became part of the system. They proved that a society in which the elite continues to live in the privileged eliteworld does not gradually change into another kind of world.

Some leaders say that the problem of the more than nine million children that die each year before they are five years old can be solved. In ten years there will be twenty percent less deaths, in thirty years sixty percent less deaths and in sixty years the problem is solved. The first results of this gradual method are not hopeful. And many more problems are waiting to be solved. In the meantime millions of children die unnecessarily but none of them had parents that belonged to the wealthier classes.

Jumps have to be made to solve big problems in such a short time that people get a better future within their lifetime. The Millennium goals of the UN speak of halving poverty and misery in twenty years (and that goal will not be reached). People who formulate such absurdist and unattainable goals remain on top of the world when the goals are not realised. Despite their lies, despite the many unnecessary dying masspeople, they continue to live in luxury; they continue to be applauded by their kind of people. The misery

continues to exist while the situation in the eliteworld continues to improve. To change this, something different has to happen, a jump has to occur.

Thomas Kuhn said that the scientific world only changed because of jumps, catastrophes and revolutions. Copernicus and Einstein were such initiators that made the scientists who were, at first, lame ducks, become active rats. The same people who first saw the exterior of the box from above, saw after the revolution its interior from below. During such revolutions scientists see new things when looking with familiar instruments in places they have looked before. Revolutions change the mind of scientists, they inspire, they lead to new inventions, and they bring science on a higher plane. That should also happen in the social world.

Masspeople can create the conditions for a political catastrophe after which our world will advance to a new height. In their personal life masspeople often dream of revolutionary changes when they participate in lotteries with huge prizes. A win opens a new world, without a win their future is known, a not so interesting life without surprises. Most of the time they have to work hard to get enough money to live a more or less decent life. When they get their pension and enough free time they are exhausted, they lost the inspiration to use the free time to enter a new and interesting period in their life. They cannot recall the vigour they had when they were young. Labouring many hours for their very existence has obscured their mind, the wish to do something new.

A sudden event opens the way to a new future. The enthusiasm when a war ends, when a revolution succeeds or when the club becomes champion, is striking. Such moments give people a lot of energy. But our present life is governed by evolutionary ideas, big changes are impossible and small changes are too slow. Completely new concepts are impossible. After a catastrophic event such as a war, old forces restrict the new energy of the masses to restore the old situation. When the new energy of the masses is canalised, elitepersons can continue to sleep quietly in their big mansions.

To promote a climate in which a jump is possible masspeople must use the human paradigm in which everything turns around humans. They must ask

for seemingly impossible but understandable solutions. Why should it be wrong to demand that the millions of kids that die unnecessarily should live now? Why should any human live in misery? When leaders refuse to listen and the kids continue to die it is justifiable to invade the private living sphere of such leaders, to disturb their quiet and privileged eliteworld. Elitepeople are obviously not able to solve the destitute situation of innocent kids. When the pressure on elite people increases they will burst like a balloon that is pressed too much. Then the way is open to solve the impossible.

In the past some people achieved the seemingly impossible. The Wright brothers persisted in their idea that humans could fly, Columbus in the idea that you could sail around the world, Stanley and Livingstone crossed Africa against the warnings of many people that it was impossible. The inspired Vietnamese masses defeated the seemingly invincible American army. In Afghanistan, Iraq, and also in our countries in the Second World War, the impossible became possible. Everyone knows the world changed in the course of time despite the seemingly very strong forces that wanted to retain the old world. In the end the mind of leaders changed and humanity entered a new stage in its development. To achieve such fundamental changes radical methods have to be used and the idea that gradual change is the only way has to be abandoned.

Apes suddenly changed into humans who had different brains, could speak, make sentences, were able to walk on two legs, could combine the present, the past and the future, etc. It happened in a jumpy way. Suddenly some sleeping genes were activated and new characteristics were realised.

In the past jumps had natural causes, humans were not consciously involved in preparing jumps. But humanity has advanced so much that humans can prepare a social jump. Humanity advances when humans start creating the conditions that are necessary for a jump and stop believing that small changes improve society. Sports, films even politics are only interesting when something unexpectedly occurs. When masspeople consciously introduce the unexpected in their activities it will be a foreboding to a political catastrophe. Then masspeople will acknowledge that it is a great pleasure to be on the road towards a new future, a great pleasure to enter a New Era.

**WHY ARE MOST PEOPLE
NOT INVOLVED IN POLITICS?**

- Does anyone listen?

*Politics, if we go by its original definition,
is an Art of making things Possible.*

The important question that needs to be answered is:

Making things possible for whom?

For the Elites or the Masses?

That question brings the whole paradigm shift.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Elections are a core issue of our democratic system but they give masspeople hardly any power. The system governs **over** and not **with** the People. Sometimes people have high expectations of newly elected leaders but after a few years they are again disappointed because expectations were thwarted. Politicians hardly listen to voters, they want to keep their job and must please the bosses. Masspeople may vote but between elections they are disregarded. It is not amazing that politicians are not held in great respect. I am only amazed that people still take the trouble to vote. But indeed, ever less people vote.

To appoint an American presidential nominee, hundreds of millions of dollars are spent to get people to the ballot boxes, but turnout remains low. Just over 30% of the electorate participated in 2008. The media seem to have less influence as is often thought. Only a minority decides who will be the presidential nominee and people can only choose between candidates who have a lot of money.

In American presidential elections in the 19th century 70 to 80 percent of the people voted but nowadays it is about 50 percent, in 1996 even less. Obama with his message about change and his huge amount of advertisement money urging people to vote got only a few percentages more to the ballot boxes than in the elections of 2004.

The turnout for parliamentary elections is hardly more than 50 percent when these elections are held together with presidential elections. When there are no presidential elections less than 35 percent of the electorate votes. Members of the American Parliament are elected by a minority. It is a fairy-tale that democracy is based on majorities.

Party leaders are often shocked when people vote in a different way than they expected. Then they do not accept the Voice of the People but look for means to exclude people who voted “wrong”. Populist, extreme left or extreme right parties are disregarded in parliamentary discussions even when they are supported by tens of percents of the voters.

Because the interests of masspeople for general elections is diminishing a new idea was introduced, referendums. People do not have to elect representatives who decide for them but they can directly say yes or no to propositions. But leaders will not accept results that are contrary to their own plans and when decisions of the People are “wrong”, they have to find means to change this decision.

The Irish Constitution stipulates that referendums have to be organised regarding decisions concerning the European Union. Therefore a referendum was organised in 2001. But contrary to the expectation of the leaders, the Irish people voted against the European Union. It was of course not the majority of the electorate but the majority of the voters that said NO. The turnout was only 35 percent.

Anyhow, the result was not accepted. The People had to be wrong and the leaders had to do something to reverse this decision. They just organised new elections. A few more people came to the ballot boxes but still less than 50 percent. But the trick had success and the leaders got their majority. It is self evident that when voters had voted in the first referendum according to the wishes of the leaders, a second referendum should never have been organised.

Seven years later a new important decision about the European Union had to be taken. A few years before a new European Constitution was

rejected in referendums in France and Holland and a slightly different Constitution was formulated. Now only Ireland held a referendum, forced by their own Constitution. In other countries leaders did not dare to ask the People about the new Constitution out of fear it should be rejected again. In Ireland history repeated itself in 2008. Many important Europeans went to Ireland to speak on behalf of the new European Treaty. It did not help, just as in 2001 the No-vote won with about 54 against 46 percent. Again leaders disagreed. Again there were no majorities, only minorities. Turnout was 53% so the NO-vote got about 29% and the Yes-vote about 24% of the electorate. Democracy is not based on majorities, it is based on minorities.

The solution was this time more difficult because leaders knew that in the whole EU the sentiment was against the new Constitution. The French president gave the simplest solution, just do as before and organise elections till the Irish say Yes. Another leader pulled the silent majority from his hat (people in other countries who were not allowed to vote in a referendum were considered to agree with the new Constitution) by saying that it should not be possible that three million Irish voters decided over the wishes of nearly five hundred million Europeans.

Democracy is a system in which people are allowed to vote. Not more and not less. What happens after elections is decided by the elected leaders, masspeople have no influence on them.

Participation in parliamentary elections is higher in Europe than in the United States but in elections for lower democratic organs, it is often far below fifty percent. Political leaders are not happy with the low participation because it gives people a reason to express their frustration in another way. Thus they introduced referendums in which people were allowed to vote about specific subjects. Ireland has proven that these are empty words. People do not trust that political leaders will accept their vote so you can predict that turnout in referendums remains low.

In 2005, participation in referendums in France and Holland about the European Constitution was however fairly high; in France about 75 %

and in Holland 63%, still lower than in parliamentary elections. The vast majority of elected representatives was in favour of the Constitution, but in France 55 percent said no, and in Holland 62 percent likewise gave a no.. The result of the NO against the Constitution was however negligible, only the number of points and commas was minimised and the European Anthem was removed. People had clearly understood what was at stake. They did not trust that European politicians could defend their interests. It is self-evident that the defeated European politicians remained in their seats. People may vote but leaders decide. That is the essence of our elitist democracy.

Local referendums attract even less voters because masspeople do not trust that referendums give them a way to exert power. Exit useless referendums that were invented by middle class people who have anyhow some power in politics. Masspeople feel that their votes are often manipulated by elected who listen in the first place to people around them and not to masspeople they hardly meet.

When politicians speak about majorities they do not mean a majority of the People but a majority of the elected. They only listen to the Voice of the People when it is in agreement with their own goals. Majorities never decide. In Iraq the killing of (Iraqi) masspeople continues though a majority of the American people wants the Iraqis to solve their own problems.

The media, mostly owned by members of the richest group in the country, are more important for the elected than for the people. Despite a massive propaganda campaign many people refuse to go to the ballot boxes even in elections for the mightiest elected person, the President of the United States. They do not believe to get any influence by casting their vote. But by lack of other means and out of tradition many people continue to vote.

It is evident that many people are not interested in politics because they do not trust political leaders. Time and again results of elections are explained in a way masspeople cannot understand. After the Dutch referendum for the European Constitution politicians tried to explain why

the People had voted NO. It was the European Anthem, it was the lack of influence of National Parliaments, it was caused because politicians had not rightly explained why there had to be a European Constitution etc. But that people did not trust politicians was never an argument. And doubt of the honesty of leaders is a central point in elections.

Another factor to reject the European Constitution was the invasion of Turkish and Moroccan workers in the neighbourhoods of masspeople. After tens of years many new Dutchmen were still not integrated. Now they were threatened by a new invasion of people from strange countries like Poland, Romania or again Turkey who came to take their houses, their jobs, their neighbourhoods, who again came to disturb their way of life. Politicians did not understand that the negative sides of the European Union came on the shoulders of masspeople. Leaders know only their own kind; they live in other parts of the city and hardly see problems of the neighbourhoods where masspeople live. Politicians are not trusted. That is the most important reason that people do not vote, vote for populist parties or change party every time they vote. The separation of the world into an elite- and a massworld is copied in the political sphere, voters and elected live in different worlds.

Masspeople are hardly interested in the democratic system because they have come to the conclusion that elections do not give them power. Time and again the elected decide something that is totally opposite to what they have said during election time. Democracy was never meant for a society with millions of people. It worked in small and more or less homogeneous groups and was extrapolated to the whole population by leaders who needed something to replace the formerly dictatorial, Machiavellian or paternal systems that were used in old societies. A society with many higher educated people could not be ruled anymore on the old way. But democracy, election of representatives and decision by majorities, is also failing.

Democracy is propagated to be the saviour of humanity. When elections are being held in a country all is well. Unless of course masspeople elect

Hamas-leaders in Palestine. Unless of course they vote for someone like Allende in Chile. Then you have to finance a military coup to oust that regime. But it is all right when masspeople vote for a government in India that is not capable to bring education to all Indian masspeople, that is not capable to save each year more than two million children who die before they have reached the age of five. India is called a democracy but most people only vote for someone who is from the same caste, the same tribe, the same region or because the leader of the factory, the village or the army gives the order to vote for a certain person.

When you look at the number of voters you can say that India is the biggest democracy in the world but in China masspeople are better off. When we define democracy as a system in which masspeople can participate in decisions that influence their private lives, there are no democratic countries. In the Third World the non-existence of democracy is obvious, in Western countries democracy is also a hollow term, it is one of the instruments of the elite to remain on top of the world.

Masspeople must feel that they are taken seriously, that there are positive results, that they seem to have some power. That is only possible in small clubs where every voice counts. Many Dutch people are member of a club concerned with football, chess, the neighbourhood, collecting stamps etc. In these clubs many members are involved in decisions. Interested, involved and active masspeople have often a decisive vote. In small clubs masspeople know that they have some power. In such mini-societies there is a certain kind of democracy for interested, involved and active participants.

People stand central in small clubs. The human paradigm that people must stand in the centre is already to a certain height realised in small clubs. Unconsciously masspeople apply already the principles of this paradigm. But in the outside world where the elitist paradigm reigns it is different. While the heart of the people tells them what they should do, the market prices tell them what they must do. That does not happen in small clubs. In the big world (and in big professional sport clubs) involved and interested

members are a nuisance. When they oppose a controversial decision, leaders activate a few less interested followers to stand behind them. They do not have to listen to troublesome interested masspeople. And the result? Less and less people go to the ballot boxes and even those masspeople that still go do not trust leaders for whom they have voted.

Masspeople do not see much result from their efforts to improve the world. They don't have power; they are subordinated, second-rank citizens. Somewhere, far away, it is decided over them and without them. Interest, involvement and participation demands that you see results from your efforts. In democracies results are insignificant. Sometimes life improves when the economy improves but masspeople are not wanted as partners. They are only allowed to vote on the place, the time and the subject others have selected. Only when masspeople select the place, time and reason to be active something can happen. Then they will become independent of forces that reside elsewhere.

Masspeople must forget democracy, forget majorities, and forget elections when they want results. They must use other methods by penetrating into the eliteworld where elitepeople live and take such decisions that they remain on top. They can be independently active in small, temporary, alternating clubs of involved and interested masspeople, minority groups of masspeople against the seemingly eternal leading minority of privileged elite people.

11

**ALTERNATING MINORITIES V/S
DEMOCRACY BASED ON MAJORITIES**

- Anyone can participate

*Democracy is based on the belief
that the lowest person should participate in decision-making.
Democracy is GOD principle – the Good Orderly Development.*

Dr. Leo Rebello

The American President is elected by just over 25 percent of the possible voters. More than five million Americans are denied the right to vote because of previous felony convictions. Many more legal and illegal immigrants have also no vote. Only a small minority of the people who live in the USA have voted in favour of the American president. Most decisions are taken by a majority of elected representatives but many representatives just vote as the whips of the political parties tell them to vote. Here also a minority decides. Democracy is not based on majorities; it is based on alternating minorities of leaders.

Majorities are rare. In most subjects only a minority is involved and interested. The rest forms the silent majority that does not want to be bothered. Our society revolves around minorities of elitepeople and the rest of the population has a lesser status. All decisions are guided by the elitist paradigm and the wish of elitepeople to preserve and extend the prosperous and privileged eliteworld. To reach this goal the elite needs a hierarchical organisation (with the elite on top). By taking part in elections masspeople give their power away to representatives who in the first place listen to higher placed elitepeople who are not elected and who butter their bread.

Democracy has never been a system in which majorities decide. History shows that the earliest ideas about democracy were connected with consensus, persuasion and trust. The majorities of the past were in fact small minorities because women, children, young adults, slaves, poor people, immigrant workers, people born in other cities, etc. did not participate. The

present democracy is an intolerable extension of a system that had some merits for societies with at the most a few hundred thousand people.

Masspeople should abandon any idea of majorities and should act alone or in small groups. Decisions should be taken after a confrontation between temporary and alternating minorities of masspeople and alternating minorities of leaders.

Temporary and alternating minority groups are hard to combat with Machiavellian methods. These groups act outside the (hierarchical) democratic system and leaders can hardly influence activists, also because actions are often covert to evade the possible use of violence against activists.

Can temporary groups have success? : I always wonder why new ideas must answer this kind of question. The question if democracy is a success is not put forward even when it is evident that the democratic system is not capable to solve important problems as wars, hunger and other misery. Something different has to be tried to get a more decent world. But many people still believe that democracy is the best system ever though it should be improved a little. That the democratic system is one of the causes of the miserable inequality is not a point of discussion.

Actions of masspeople in democracies are often without success but the same unsuccessful actions are repeated and repeated, even when after some time many masspeople refuse to participate anymore in such unsuccessful activities.

Big demonstrations during the G8 meeting in Seattle in 2005 ended in fights with the police. The actions were repeated in other countries but in 2008 in Japan only a few symbolic actions took place. In the meantime G8 leaders did not even give a glimpse of hope that wishes of activists should be honoured.

Each year more than nine million kids die before they have reached the age of five. Leaders are not capable of making the world better and no actions are able to stop this killing. The only possibility of getting a better world is when we change the world!

Some people continue to propagate outlived ideas about actions. Try to reach the media with your protest, walk with thousands of masspeople towards Parliament, throw stones at the police, sign a petition to ask elitepeople to change their way of life, think that Al Gore is honest when he makes a film after he left his powerful position in which he did nothing to protect the world.

Successes were small and did not corrode the power of the elite. The status of masspeople remained inferior to the status of elitepeople. New ideas are needed.

Democracy has outlived itself and approaches its end. It is not possible to solve big world problems in a democratic way. Hunger, misery, discrimination, avoidable illnesses etc. and last but not the least the situation in which a few people have reserved for themselves a prosperous, safe and privileged eliteworld, will remain, as long as democracy is the regulating world order. Only masspeople can end this historical period. In my book "The Power of the Autonomous Human" I describe some promising actions of small independent groups of masspeople against elitepeople.

Democracy has never been a system to give all people power, neither has it been a system that considers all people having the same status.

The Magna Carta (1215) is seen as having had a significant influence on the historical process that led to the rule of constitutional law today. It says among other things that "no free man shall be taken indeed imprisoned, either dispossessed, or outlawed, or exiled, or in any manner destroyed, except by means of the legal judgment of his own equals." The application of this rule in regard to masspeople, when it comes to Guantanamo is dubious. The pact was in fact only an inter-elitist charter in which an English king renounced certain rights to other (also powerful), lower, elite persons like robber barons.

Many centuries before the city-state of Athens had some kind of democracy. Ten percent of the population was allowed to participate in discussions. Women, children, slaves and resident foreigners who made up more than ninety percent of the population were excluded. Plato fixed the number of

citizens, who were allowed to participate in this kind of democracy, in an ideal state, at 5040 adult males. But around 400 B.C. the number of participating citizens was already 30.000 (in a city with more than 300.000 people). For Plato and Aristotle the only true criterion of the size of the city was that all citizens knew each other. The public world had to be joined with the private world. The ancient Greek democracy was created for a small number of people, a minority of all inhabitants.

More than two hundred years ago the Count of Montesquieu advanced his idea of democracy. Like the old Greeks he saw that democracy could solve conflicts in a relatively small group. Just before the French Revolution France had about 25 million inhabitants and a leading group of around 100.000 people. When we exclude women and children the number of participants in the democratic system of De Montesquieu was about the same as in Athens.

Democracy replaced the Machiavellian method of tricks and deceit (and the necessary violence) as the dominating way to rule a country. In the not so very democratic financial and industrial world Machiavellian methods are still widespread and in conflicts between countries, violence is not unusual. De Montesquieu canalised the inter-elitist struggle by the introduction of the *Trias Politica*, the separation of the judiciary, the executive and the legislature, institutions that were of course controlled by the elite. He excluded any Fourth Power by which masspeople should have the possibility to control the exclusively elitist organs of the *Trias Politica*.

Maybe democracy works in smaller clubs with less than Plato's 5040 participants. But it is not working when millions of people are involved in decision making, people who hardly know each other and who have often very different backgrounds and interests. To extrapolate the primitive democratic system of the Greeks and De Montesquieu to countries with millions of inhabitants has been a mistake. It is an example that something which more or less works on a small scale often does not work on a big scale.

In Athens most citizens were related to each other by blood and family ties were very strong. As boys (we speak only about free adult males)

they grew up together in schools and as men they served side by side during times of war. They debated with each other in public assemblies, they elected each other as magistrates, they cast their votes as jurors for or against fellow citizens. In this society all citizens were intimately and directly involved in politics, justice, military service, religious ceremonies, intellectual discussions, athletics and artistic pursuits.

This description of the participants of Greek democracy fits also the French elite of De Montesquieu before the year 1800 and even the present elite. History confirms that democracy has never been a government by the People but always a government by a minority of the population over the majority, by an elite over the masses. It is time to abandon democracy and to look for ways in which all people can participate in decisions when they want to be involved.

I do not want to spend too much energy in discussing the voting process. When someone wants to vote it is her or his responsibility. That this person will often be disappointed I can understand, that she/he does not learn from the disappointment by voting again and again, I do not understand anymore. Democracy does also not work because of the growing difference between rich and poor, between educated and non-educated, between Western and Eastern people, between white and coloured people. But basically because power is concentrated in the hands of a small group of privileged people which prevents masspeople to live their own life without interference from people who live far away another kind of life in another world. By sometimes casting your vote nothing will change.

The temporary and alternating minorities of small independent groups of active, interested and involved masspeople resemble in some way the groups of men that ruled Athens. Members of the groups must know each other, the groups must not be too big and must not have any formal leaders. When you do not want to discard completely any idea of democracy, you can consider these alternating groups as the basic democratic elements of the New World that we are going to build.

Any massperson can take part in these groups on the place, the time and the manner she or he determines. What elitepeople are doing is their

business, masspeople should draw their own plans and interfere where, how and when they want to interfere.

These groups will have a more profound influence on society than going to the ballot boxes to elect people who have hardly contact with the electorate. Besides, directors of industry, leaders of (semi) governmental institutions such as hospitals, the top of the army, leaders of charity organisations or high civil servants are not elected and hardly controlled by elected representatives. Temporary groups of interested masspeople can better control these people.

In their actions masspeople must penetrate into the exclusive world of leaders. Only then it will be possible that the mass and eliteworld will merge and a New World comes into being in which all people have the same status.

In small clubs there is an uninterrupted contact between members and organisers who all have the same status, they are equals with the same goals. In society the goals of leaders and masspeople are different.

What has to be done is decided in discussions within the group. That is quite different in the big society where Machiavellian methods of tricks and deceit and even violence are used by leaders against masspeople. Members of small groups can hardly use Machiavellian methods in their group because they know each other and there are no differences in status and goals. Everything is directed at powerful elitepeople. And fights with the police will not take place because policemen are also masspeople.

My proposal will not bring heaven on earth in a short time but results will be visible in due course. Do not expect that a new method to live together (a way that is different from the democratic way) will be realised directly. It must be tested and developed in practice. Masspeople must use their ingenuity and creativity to strengthen the idea. But something completely new has to happen, because democracy introduced by the Count De Montesquieu, a prominent member of the French elite, is failing.

Masspeople are better than the elite. They indeed can get a world in which all people have the same status.

PROTEST IS NOT ENOUGH

- The elites hardly listen

Speeches of food, clothing and shelter.

Promises of the 'morrow unknown.

Rules of Law, but Injustice unbridled.

This is the world we live in. Time for an about-turn.

Dr. Leo Rebello

The G8 meeting is over. Protests withered away. The situation in the world did not improve. Next year another G8 meeting. Next year another protests. Next year again nothing will change. Something different has to happen.

Protesters are only asking leaders to change decisions. But all protests are absorbed by society. Leaders continue to take decisions in agreement with the elitist paradigm, they just go on with what they are doing. All protests remain within the framework set by the paradigm that the eliteworld must be preserved and extended and that Money, Power and Elitepersons must come first. Common citizens hardly count. Neither the power relations, the mind of leaders nor the reasons why they take certain decisions, change.

Real change is not possible when power relations don't change. Power relations don't change when the mind of people with power does not change. Actions must be part of the clash between the old elitist paradigm and the new human paradigm. People must stand central, not power, not money. The new paradigm demands that all people have the same status. Now decisions are in the first place taken by looking at the interest of a small part of the population, the elite.

There have been many actions of masspeople but powerful leaders always remained in their place and new decisions differed not much from attacked decisions. Activists sometimes claimed success but it was often double-edged, there were some benefits for masspeople but the elite also profited.

The abolition of slavery is often described as a success for people who found that black slaves were also human and that slavery was a violation of human rights. Abolition seemed a victory for humans who put the well-being of masspeople in front. The facts tell a different story.

Masspeople were hardly involved in the struggle for abolition. Occasionally a rebellion of slaves was crushed with much violence. The demand for change lasted a century and was led by middle class people supported by some elitepeople who saw that society could be more profitable without slavery. More and more the elite realised that it needed abolition for its own benefit. That some masspeople also benefited did not matter, power relations were not changed.

After the French Revolution, probably as a result of the new paradigm, *Liberté, Egalité et Fraternité*, slavery was abolished in France in 1794. But a few years later, the influence of the new paradigm weakened, Napoleon re-established slavery and a revolt of slaves in Haiti was crushed in blood. Only fifty years later slavery was definitely banned in France.

In the United States the abolition of slavery was also a long process. Around 1800, after the Revolutionary War, slaveholders were persuaded to free their slaves. The elite seldom attacks its own sons so slavery was not yet lawfully abolished. Ten percent of the slaveholders bowed to the arguments that were in complete agreement with the elitist paradigm. Slaveholders were encouraged to abolish slavery because the economy of the area was changing. It was shifting from labour-intensive tobacco culture to mixed crop cultivation that did not need so many slaves. The question if slaves were also human was not raised.

In 1865 slavery was finally abolished. You may guess how much human misery was caused to masspeople in this half century. Slavery was abolished only because the elite from the North of the USA had a different kind of economy that needed more independent workers; though they still “felt threatened as well because they were uneasy at the prospect of the sudden addition to the labour pool of a huge number of freed labourers who were used to work for very little and thus seen as willing to undercut prevailing wages” (again the money argument). “Northerners came to view slavery as the very antithesis of the good society, as well as a threat to their own fundamental values and interests”. (quoted from Wikipedia). The interests of the elite rather than the idea that all humans had to be treated as humans was more important to the powers that be.

Most improvements in the massworld are carried through because the elite admits that the economy is changing. They make new rules and the influence of organisations of masspeople on these decisions is negligible. The forty-hour week, abolition of child labour, rising salaries of workers, minimum wage, compulsory education, state pensions etc. are first of all products of elitist think tanks. Masspeople benefited but their influence on these decisions was minimal and the cleft between mass and eliteworld remained very wide.

The elite brings change when needed—for its own paradigm. What the elite does is mostly compatible with this paradigm. Society cannot change fundamentally by the kind of mass actions we have seen in the past.

The Vietnam War was part of a power struggle on a world scale between different elites. Why was it stopped? Due to the protests of many masspeople all over the world? Don't believe it. It was stopped because the interests of the American elite were undermined. The war became too costly, it undermined the grip on their own masses, fifty thousand American soldiers died on the battle field (the millions of dead Vietnamese did not count), trade with other countries was endangered by a growing anti-American feeling, etc. All these reasons had their origin, in the danger that the dominant paradigm that the elite always has to be on top was losing its influence.

Mass protests had hardly any influence but self-appointed mass leaders still continue to propagate that it is necessary to fight for improvements within the framework of the elitist paradigm. They ask elitepeople to improve the massworld though the elite is in the first place interested in improving their own world. Its mind is directed on what happens in the eliteworld and not on what happens in the massworld. Actions, which do not contribute to the change of mind of leaders, should be ignored. But leaders of mass organisations still demand higher salaries (though the income of elitepersons rises faster), electric cars (though the interests of the oil elite is more important), no hunger in the world (though the agricultural elite may not be hurt), no more wars as in Vietnam (but the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan just go on).

Mass protests have hardly any influence. The elite does not change its indecent attitude. Wars, violence and misery hurt masspeople, in the first place, and you can find that almost anywhere. In 2001 there were violent conflicts in a third of all countries. In many more countries there was widespread hunger and other misery. In 2008 the situation is still the same and the financial crisis will deepen the distance between the prosperous eliteworld and the harsh massworld.

Maybe some problems will be solved and perhaps mass actions contributed something positive but new problems arrive just because the world is based on the wrong paradigm that turns around money and not around people. Many masspeople realise that, they lose their fighting spirit and stop being involved in political activities. They feel that all change only occurs within the elitist boundaries.

Mass actions are not based on fundamentally different ideas due to lack of an own inspiring paradigm. They are a reaction on what the elite is doing. Actions are not based on the creativity and autonomy of masspeople nor do they put pressure on elitepeople who take decisions. Moreover, most actions take place in their own massworld and the centre of power that is deep in the eliteworld is not touched at all.

The wrong kind of actions carried out. I once commented on a German action group who made principal mistakes. I could have made a comparable comment on any mass action. I named six points, stay out of prison, do not overestimate yourself, be like a fish in the water, try to change the mind of leaders, do not destroy insured things, do hardly send messages to the media.

- Carry out actions that are hardly punishable. In prison you can't do anything.
- Realise you are a fly and not a tiger and that you cannot change the world in one action.
- Do not become a specialised activist but remain in touch with the people around you, remain on trusted grounds.
- Put pressure on persons with power and avoid fellow masspeople who defend the top.
- Do not attack buildings because damage will be repaired and the minds of leaders will not be influenced.
- Do not try to win the media

for your message, because people who are very close to the elite own most media.

I advise to stop doing things that have no result. Do not participate in actions thought of and led by others, you have to control your own situation. Be independent in actions and do not remain a secondary citizen – a real human is an autonomous being. Direct your energy at those people who use the elitist paradigm to improve their own world. Use a known paradigm that is in the interest of all people. Unless all people get the same status, all actions will be futile.

I have disregarded activities of people who want a society based on a different system – be it communist, fundamentalist or something else. It is not the system that must change but the people; not the rules that are important but the ideas behind the rules. The ideas behind the present society are wrong. However, many activists still believe in the present obsolete system and say that only rules have to be improved. They do not want to admit that the crisis is not the consequence of bad rules but the consequence of bad ideas, of the leading paradigm that revolves around money. All new systems that have been proposed make the same mistake; they are all comparable with old systems that lead to societies with a powerful elite on top and the powerless dependent masses down under.

I have also disregarded activities of people who wait for the sudden collapse of the present society. Most people who wait till heaven comes down on earth are not socially active. They withdraw into their own small group in which they discuss with each other how the new society must look like. They forget that a New Society never comes into being when the road to this society is not taken into consideration.

I disregard also people who are socially active with the purpose to improve the present society within the existing power relations. I prefer people who are socially active in taking the road to a different kind of society, a society where the mind of leaders is changed in such a way that all people are considered having the same status.

**ONLY A NEW PARADIGM
CAN CAUSE CHANGE**

- But without action they are only words

One shout in the mountains starts an avalanche.

One matchstick creates an inferno.

One leader changes the course of history.

One Buddha, one Jesus, one Mohammed, one Mao.

Dr. Leo Rebello

A new political paradigm is a prime condition for change; it gives an indication as to which activities of masspeople can have success. Without this guideline, actions often do not contribute anything to the coming of a new kind of society.

A new political paradigm inspires people to see society in another way as before, or as Thomas Kuhn said: “to see the world not from the outside from above but from the inside from below”. People still see the same problems but they see new, unexpected solutions. When they accept the new paradigm, life will become more exciting than the present situation in which people who live somewhere else control everything. Masspeople will get more grip on society and thus on their own life.

The present financial crisis is inherent to the elitist paradigm that is based on Money, Power and Elitepersons. This paradigm does not give any lasting solution. It is useless to discuss solutions brought forward by the same people that caused the problems.

Greed for still more money forces powerful persons to act as they do. New solutions can only be found with a new paradigm that does not promote to sell things you do not have or to buy things you do not want. Many of the present financial activities do not help masspeople; they are only games played by people with high incomes. And this kind of games can go wrong – to the detriment of the life of masspeople.

‘Derivatives’ are an instrument to hedge risk. For example, it can be used as a certain guarantee that a producer gets next year the commodities he needs, to make the products he wants to sell. But it can also be used for speculative purposes. As a result, the derivatives market exploded in such a way that at the end of 2007 the value of all derivatives contracts on currencies, commodities, shares and loans was more than ten times as much as what was produced in the whole world. Derivatives were concluded to an amount of about 600.000 billion dollars while the GDP of the whole world was about 55.000 billion dollars and the value of all shares offered on the stock exchanges only around 35.000 billion dollars. The derivatives business is a moneymaking machine having no real underlying assets and without any contribution to the world economy. Some people earned a lot of money (others lost a lot of money) and increased their status to the top of the world-And the prices went sky high.

The question of what the benefit is for common people, of these castles in the air, is of secondary importance because money is, in our world, more important than humans. Sometimes such bubbles burst. The first was the real estate bubble. The prices for real estate were manipulated to ridiculous heights and at the same time people with no money were coerced to buy houses (against high interest). When the number of people to sell new mortgages ran out, the bubble exploded. By the way, the human paradigm should demand low interest from people who have not much money (they also need a house) and high interest from people who have anyhow enough money.

In Albania, a comparable pyramid scheme exploded in 2001. Two thousand people died in clashes with security forces and under these two thousands you could not find one human that had profited from this simple scheme. Promise people they get a lot of money when they give you their money. Pay these people with money you get from later investors. When most people have given money, no more money is coming in and it is not anymore possible to pay the promised profits. The scheme collapses. Bank leaders also used a kind of pyramid scheme. Up till now it has cost common people (via taxes) already more than one thousand billion dollars.

The culprits are still living in luxury. It should never have happened when the paradigm had been based on people and not on money.

Paradigms give food for contemplation about our society, they inspire new ideas and frozen minds are activated. The outlived idea that democracy gives masspeople a better life (disproved by the present crisis) will be swept away by a growing movement of new ideas that makes life more interesting. But contemplations alone do not change society. Actions are needed of masspeople who are not satisfied with the present world. Without vague guidelines any activity of masspeople at the best only improves the situation of some masspeople but basic differences continue to exist. The elite continues to live in the prosperous and privileged eliteworld. Democratic political parties only want to improve life of masspeople within the elitist structure but they are powerless in regard to financial manipulations of elitepeople. A new political paradigm is indispensable to get a new society. Without a new paradigm any change will be short-lived.

“Freedom, Equality and Brotherhood” was the motivating idea in the French Revolution, “All Power to the Workers” a forceful idea in the Russian Revolution. These revolutions succeeded because masspeople were inspired by the new paradigm. In the years after these revolutions the inspiring paradigms were however replaced by old paradigms and the enthusiasm of masspeople withered away. It takes time before new paradigms settle in the mind of masspeople. It also takes time to erase the elitist political paradigm from the mind of elitepersons. This process can only be completed by carrying out actions that are in agreement with the new paradigm.

The elitist paradigm is imprinted in the mind of elitepeople. Children of the elite are indoctrinated by the elitist dogma that they are “better” than other people. They learn from their early days that they are different, that they have a special position in the world, that they are carriers of a tradition, that they will have a higher position in the future, that they belong to “Our Kind of People”. The elitist paradigm is strengthened by practical experiences. Elitist children live in bigger houses, have more money, go to other schools, attend different kind of clubs, go on holidays to different

places and even talk about different subjects in a slightly different language. Elitepersons can hardly escape the dominating paradigm that the elite was, is and shall be the leading force in the world. Of course you must be nice to other people but “Our Kind of People” must remain on top. The leading paradigm that determines the mind of elitepeople has to be changed in such a way that they admit that other people are not inferior. Though elitepersons sometimes say that all people are equal, at the back of their mind they fully agree with the words of George Orwell in “Animal Farm”: “All animals are equal but some animals are more equal than others”.

The elitist paradigm is simple. “We want more than anyone else”. The Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons is absolute. Elitepersons have the power to get more money than anyone else. All activities of the elite must comply with this axiom. The elitist paradigm gives elitepersons a purpose in life; it combines the past, the present and the future. Masspeople should adopt an own paradigm that gives them also a future that wipes out the dreary past in which they were secondary people.

Most decisions are taken in agreement with the elitist paradigm. That masspeople sometimes get something more, depends on the question if elitepeople also get more. The unequal power relations must be maintained. Therefore it was possible to abolish slavery because part of the elite saw that the abolition of slavery was in favour of its own position. The question, if black masspeople were human, was of secondary importance. The demand that masspeople should be considered as the same kind of humans is in contradiction to the crucial importance of the leading idea that the elite is, was and must always be on top of the world. Demands that put people central can therefore never be fulfilled. But the present political parties and organisations are already pleased when the life of masspeople improves a little bit, even when the improvement in the eliteworld is bigger than in the massworld.

To get another world we need another paradigm that inspires masspeople to discover what they can and must do. They should give up activities that are not in agreement with this paradigm, such as activities that are only

useful to get a more decent society. When slavery was abolished, masspeople got more rights but remained inferior in regard to elitepeople. Elitepeople control if decisions comply with the elitist paradigm. When that is not the case, leaders that took these decisions are put under pressure. That can lead to an inter-elitist struggle in which sometimes masspeople are used as cannon fodder. Masspeople are never autonomously active in such fights and the eliteworld remains separated from the massworld. Society never fundamentally changed after inter-elitist struggles.

With a new paradigm masspeople can check if decisions are in agreement with the human demand that all people should have the same status. When not, they should just as the elite, put pressure on leaders to change wrong decisions. One of the effects is that elitepeople become uncertain. Their future (the elite will always be on top) is undermined when decisions do not contribute anymore to the improvement of the eliteworld. The purpose of their existence, their elitist way of life, the idea “We are better” is withering away. When elitepeople cannot live anymore their privileged life in their separated eliteworld the influence of the elitist paradigm will go down.

In the process of replacing the elitist paradigm by a paradigm that regards all people having the same status, the mind of masspeople as well as the mind of elitepeople changes. The first by admitting that the new paradigm opens the way to a better future, the last because the elite is prevented to live as they lived before. By accepting a new paradigm masspeople see new possibilities, they can distance themselves from fruitless discussions about solutions based on old ideas. Why should mechanics that repair computers earn less than mechanics that repair human bodies? For the old paradigm the solution is obvious, physicians are closer to the elite than computer mechanics and must earn more. The new paradigm says that all people have the same status and gives solutions in which it is not self-evident that physicians earn more than mechanics.

Do not take for granted that separate worlds exist. Do not bow under the pressure of the old paradigm but fight for the implementation of the new idea that all people have the same status. Do not believe leaders when

they say that some actions are wrong. It is best not to believe anything what leaders are saying. Their views are determined by the elitist paradigm. Truth is distorted in the interest of the elite. In the conflict in Georgia, Russia is blamed for the war but why must a small country like Georgia that is far away from other NATO countries become member of NATO? Why is the US installing anti-missile batteries in Poland and the Czech Republic? Why are Western troops stationed all over the world? To protect common citizens or to protect corrupt elitist regimes? Do not believe anything anymore!

The directors of eBay are also servants of the elitist paradigm. eBay facilitates the exchange of goods between masspeople. According to the human paradigm it should be as easy as possible to trade on eBay. But in agreement with the money paradigm the fees for masspeople were increased and the fees for big organisations that sell lots of articles via eBay decreased. The interest of masspeople is inferior to the interest of shareholders.

Once in a meeting of shareholders of Philips, someone asked why Philips did not support a boycott of a certain country. The CEO said that Philips should join the boycott when it was approved by the United Nations. His prime duty was to make as much money as possible for shareholders. Leaders of Philips do not judge the situation in countries where they are active; the prime target is making money. He repeated the elitist paradigm.

Bush who ordered the military invasion of independent Iraq with the support of most American legislators did also comply with the elitist paradigm. Oil is of prime importance for the wealth of the American elite and the war secured this source of money. It is clear that masspeople are not seen as humans with the same status. The damage to Iraqi masspeople or American soldiers was not a factor in the decision to invade Iraq.

The Iraqi or Afghan Wars are not wrong because so many masspeople are hurt. They are wrong because they are carried out according to a

paradigm that does not regard all people having the same status. I do not demonstrate on the street (with the chance I have to fight with the police) but propose to put pressure on people who take decisions according to the wrong paradigm. By putting pressure on them they will more and more admit they must adhere to a new paradigm that puts people central. When masspeople invade the eliteworld, elitepersons will notice that times are changing, their mind will change and they will accept the new paradigm.

Why certain decisions are taken is more important than whether decisions are right or wrong. The process by which decision is taken, takes place in the head of elitepeople. Actions must be directed towards what happens in the heads of leaders. Do not hit them with a stick but put their brains under pressure. Let them feel that the elitist paradigm is no longer working, that they cannot continue to live anymore in a world that is in all aspects better than the massworld.

Such actions of masspeople differ from the present mass actions that are more protesting than forcing. On the road to a New Society masspeople will experience many new and exciting moments. They will get pleasure from putting pressure on the private life of people who think they have the right to have more than other fellow-humans. Pleasure because of small successes, but also because of unexpected reactions from pressurised people. And more pleasure than by evading security forces after having demonstrated in rainy weather. By using a variety of small actions masspeople will see that from time to time targeted elitepersons will take different decisions – proof that the process of changing the mind of leaders is working!

14

**MASSPEOPLE ALWAYS
FIGHT EACH OTHER**
- Because of the elitist paradigm

*Remember the divide and rule policy?
Well, unite and fight for your rights
Dr. Leo Rebello*

Hunger and avoidable illnesses are violence against masspeople. Elitepeople are never hungry. The more than nine million children who suffer and die before they have reached the age of five cannot get the basic ingredients for a decent life. They cannot pay for food, clean water or medical care. The central role of money is the direct cause of many deaths in the poorest parts of our world. That cannot improve because the leading paradigm revolves around money and not around humans. Just wonder how it is possible that bankers in London pocketed more than 20 billion euro in the first half of 2008. This money alone could solve the human plight of more than nine million kids. But bankers are not focussed on the life of small children who live in the massworld.

Nearly all violence is found in the massworld and is inflicted by masspeople on fellow-masspeople. In wars it is evident, masspeople from different countries fight each other and all casualties fall among the people. I gave already the example of the remains of tens of thousands dead soldiers in a cemetery in France while only one General was buried there. Politicians and elitepeople behind this General were never in any danger of dying by hostile bullets. Nothing has changed since the Middle Ages when after a war soldiers of the losing party were slaughtered while the noble leaders sat together at a copious banquet to celebrate the end of the hostilities.

About ten percent of the Dutch people are forced to change house because of often-violent harassment by neighbours. These conflicts arise because masspeople cannot afford to buy big mansions with a garden around the house. The elite has neighbours who live so far away you can easily avoid them.

Football hooligans fight with the police and never with incapable club leaders who cannot inspire well-paid players to perform the right way. Leaders sit together on the places of honour on the tribune, far away from football loving masspeople.

In bars and discos masspeople flock together and the cramped situation is sometimes the cause of fights that do not take place in the more spacious expensive bars and discos of the top.

Burglars can sometimes be violent but big burglars, as leaders of the now bankrupt banks, amass vast fortunes (paid by masspeople) even when their policies are faulty. They do not need to be violent to steal from others.

Workers are sacked and thrown out of the factory by the police while directors who caused the sacking are compensated with huge amounts of money (we call that in Holland a golden hand-shake).

In most violence the invisible hand of money is involved. Religious strife, fights between demonstrators and the police, between rightists and leftists, hatred against new immigrants, racial differences, nationalist and chauvinist feelings etc. occur in the massworld. All fighting, all casualties, all damage, all imaginary hate takes place only in the massworld, the eliteworld is also in this aspect different. Elitepeople have differences but they do not fight because they have the same goal, the preservation of the privileged eliteworld.

The whole world is indoctrinated by the elitist paradigm. Respect for other humans is often determined by money. You are successful when you have made as much money as you can. Certain criminals have therefore a lot of sympathy among the masses, especially when the acquired money comes from rich people or from the state.

Bill Gates is also respected. He makes good products (though there are some doubts about that) but he has a monopoly that permits him and some people around him to amass in a few years hundreds of billions of

dollars by selling Microsoft products at outrageous prices. It is in agreement with the elitist paradigm that you must get as much money as you can. Many dubious practices that are disadvantageous for masspeople, are permitted. When masspeople protest, leaders do not shun violence to quell the protests.

The slogan that you can become a millionaire when you started as a paperboy (though it seldom happens) is again based on getting a lot of money. That other people are damaged in this greedy process is never considered. In a human society the slogan should be different. It should be stressed that even a paperboy could become a professor, a trade union leader or even a philosopher who gives people lots of interesting ideas but who hardly can earn enough money to live decently.

Are you a better human when you have lots of money? I have my doubts because money is the driving force behind many conflicts. When I read sometimes a glossy magazine I see a world full of jealousy, hate and people who want to degrade other people in order to take her or his place. The only thing these people have in common is their belief that more and still more money makes life worth living. They cannot imagine that they should do the same things when they should only get a decent salary.

Must people look up to you (why I should look up to humans who have the same status as I have?) because you have a lot of money? It is of course nice to win a gold medal at the Olympics but the obsession with gold forces many champions to become one-sided. Many gold medallists have put all their time and energy in this goal – the rest of the world is unimportant. Sport has also come under the dominating influence of the elitist paradigm, it is not anymore nice and interesting (for sportsmen and spectators) but it is possible to earn a lot of money with it. When money is the driving motive for sportsmen, drugs, cheating and violence are never far away.

That people have the same status does not mean that all people are equal, some people play better chess, some people write a better book but everyone should enjoy doing what they do. Unfortunately, more and more,

everything revolves around the winner, defined as the one who earns most money. The loser is unimportant. In American sports such as baseball or basketball (but the trend has become worldwide) matches must always have winners. That someone is higher or better than others agrees with the idea that elitepersons are higher and better than masspersons. The world seems to go around only special people. In the big world around elitepersons, in the film and fantasy world around Rambo and Spiderman, in the smaller sports world around winners. I am happy that in chess interesting draws are still preferred over uninteresting games in which there is a win.

The elitist paradigm has penetrated everywhere and the effects are worldwide. Violence between masspeople has become epidemic especially against people nearby. Violence against people further off, elitepeople for example, is rare. Masspeople see other masspeople as inferior, they do not think that all people have the same status. Coloured people, women, immigrants, inhabitants of foreign countries, it is difficult to trust them. Relations are often violent. In wars soldiers kill “other people” and treat prisoners even worse than their dogs. That happens in all wars and it seems that many soldiers are quite happy to fight against other masspeople (by following the orders of people who live elsewhere and who they never will meet).

The Vietnam War stopped because 50.000 American soldiers died but the millions of dead Asians did not count because in the end Money, Power and Elitepersons determined the war. Elitepersons needed the war to secure their power to get as much money as possible. Many Western masspeople agreed because they were indoctrinated by the idea that when the elite has a comfortable life they get more crumbs from the well-provided dish of the high gentlemen (at the cost of the life of other masspeople). Masspeople were indoctrinated that their life was threatened by Vietnamese masspeople who wanted to invade the West on their bicycles (due to lack of cars and planes). That Vietnamese should have the same status, that they should have the same possibilities as we have (as many cars as we have, for example) was suppressed by the interests of the Western elite. And the result? Many dead and hurt masspeople.

There is a seemingly unsolvable problem of domestic violence in the world. It will never be solved under the present paradigm that is based on inequality, on the right of the ‘Happy Few’ to have more than the ‘Less Happy Many’. When elitepersons profit from their surplus of power to get what they want, some masspeople will also think to have the right to do what they want to do in their small circle. In the family, everyone should be inferior to the *Pater Familias*, children should be inferior to parents, just as masspeople are inferior to bosses, be it in the work place, in the sport, in leisure situations, in the police etc. That is the consequence of a society based on Power (and Money and Elitepersons). When the boss is allowed to intrude in the private life of workers (sometimes in a violent way) you cannot stop the infringement of some family-members in the life of other family-members (mostly the wife or the children). All efforts of good-willing people to minimize domestic violence fail because society is drenched in violence (as an integrated part of the difference in power relations) that is permitted when it comes from above. Violence from below is always rejected. Only when differences in power relations disappear, due to the new paradigm that regards all persons as having the same status, domestic violence will disappear.

I could tell the same story about discrimination; that it revolves around power, money and the inequality, which is the consequence of the superiority idea based on the paradigm of the Holy Trinity. And, of course, in discrimination a lot of violence between masspeople is involved.

I could continue to write about hierarchical systems, about kings and emperors, about the outrageous respect for “The President”; about Churchill with his ideas of people from the thrifty, energetic and superior stocks; about nationalism; about paternalism; about hierarchical action groups; about a government that does not listens to the People; about all kinds of traditions; about religion, about fundamentalism, about belief in the powers that be; about sex, about the power of the media, about corruption, about charity, about It is always the same story, when the elitist paradigm maintains its dominant position, violent conflicts between masspeople continue to exist. The elite continues to live peaceful,

safe and undisturbed in its privileged eliteworld. It seems that masspeople do not even have the time to invade the eliteworld, they are too busy solving small conflicts in their own world. They neglect the deeper causes of violence between masspeople.

The world is dominated by a paradigm, which propagates that some people are better than other people, in agreement with the ideas of elitepersons who find they are better than masspersons. Therefore, most of the energy of the masses is directed against other masspeople that are considered inferior, strange, weird or even dangerous. These people should stay away from our own world. And these “awful” people live nearby while the eliteworld is far away. Therefore, most actions take place in the massworld against fellow-masspeople – often with violence. The elite lives safe somewhere else and uses its power to impress still more in the mind of masspeople that some people are better than other people and that all people do NOT have the same status.

The idea that all people are in the same situation; that all people started by being born naked, could be the end of the dominance of the elite and the beginning of a peaceful New World without any elite.

**OBSTACLES ON THE ROAD
TO A NEW WORLD**

- Go around them!

Explorer Christopher Columbus discovered America.

Saint Francis Xavier arrived in India.

Both converted the destinies of the two countries.

What are you waiting for, Great Explorers?

Dr. Leo Rebello in his address to Young Doctors on 31 Dec. 2008.

The elitist paradigm is the greatest obstacle on the way to a New World. You cannot go around it; you have to replace it by a human paradigm that considers all humans to have the same status. Only then you can solve current problems by looking at them from a different angle.

We can proceed on the road to a New World only when we undermine the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons that gives elitepersons the power to get more money than anyone else, the power to preserve and extend the privileged, safe and prosperous eliteworld.

The elitist paradigm defines the boundaries of our present society. It determines to a great extent the life of masspeople. Within these boundaries masspeople can have some hope of a better life but it is like a life in prison where everything is restricted by the rules that elitepeople are better, higher, should have more, etc. For a New World we have to break through the walls that surround the region the elite has assigned to masspeople. We cannot go around this obstacle.

It is a waste of energy trying to solve problems that cannot be solved within the limits of the elitist paradigm. It is inhuman to propose to halve in ten years time the number of more than nine million kids that die each year before they are five years old. The life of too many kids will still be stopped suddenly. To deny anyone a decent life is inadmissible. I do not understand how someone can sincerely believe that the UN Millennium

Goals are a step forward. Too much misery will continue to exist – misery that stands in violent contrast to the comfortable life of people who discuss such ideas.

Many masspeople put a lot of energy in solving problems of the poor but they do not succeed because their energy is not directed against the boundaries of our society that prevent permanent solutions. Elitepeople are quite satisfied when masspeople spend their energy in solving unsolvable problems as long as this energy is not used against the boundaries. Many action groups are excessively praised and get a lot of money from leaders. Have you ever wondered why organisations like Greenpeace are tolerated though its activities clearly break the law? Other organisations should be branded as being terrorist but what Greenpeace does is obviously in favour of the sitting powers. But when an action group attacks the basic reasons of certain problems, attacks the existence of a paradigm that stipulates that some people have more rights than other people, then the attitude of the elite is completely different.

There are many obstacles that prevent the destruction of the walls that block the road to a New World. Obstacles are mostly ideas – imprinted in the mind of masspeople by the elitist paradigm – that forces one part of the masses to confront another part. When two dogs fight for a bone, a third dog (the elite) runs away with it. The elitist paradigm divides the masses and prevents the power of the elite from being challenged.

Many obstacles divide the masses. Some masspeople want to remove the obstacle, other masspeople defend it and the walls further on will never be reached. These walls are the principal reason of the seemingly unsolvable and often inexplicable differences between common people that constantly lead to commotion, uproar and violence in the massworld. The eliteworld remains untouched. When you throw a small stone in a glass of water the water will be agitated. When you throw a big boulder in the sea you hardly see any effect. The walls around the glass are the cause of the agitation. To get less agitation you should make the glass bigger; you should live like a fish in the sea. Then any agitation will be neglectable and attention

can be given to more important problems. When the walls are removed, internal differences between masspeople disappear just as the agitation in a glass of water disappears when the water is transferred to a vast container.

In Seattle, Genoa and some other places demonstrators tried to force G8-leaders to change their policies. They never reached the buildings where the meetings were being held. There were only clashes with security forces, a concrete example of an obstacle that prevents masspeople to reach the walls that confines them. In the clashes only masspeople were involved and leaders were not disturbed. It should have been better if small groups of masspeople had tried to enter the meeting via roads where the police was less present. Or actions should have been held in other places where elitepeople meet, such as golf courses and 5-star hotels, where security is not as tight as around the G8 meeting. Do not try to enter heavily guarded buildings but select softer targets, find the heel of Achilles. The present actions are dominated by the idea that you must attack en masse, that individual creative activities lead to nowhere. Just the opposite is true.

It is fairly easy to go around concrete obstacles, like the police. More difficult are the less defined obstacles that divide the masses. These obstacles can be found around subjects such as religion, nationalism, left-right contradiction, wars, voting or not-voting, all kinds of discrimination (colour, gender, birth origin . . .), poverty, charity, terrorism, media, political promises, democracy, tradition, family and tribal structures, sex, the idea that the existing world should be improved, etc. All these obstacles are supported by the elitist paradigm.

Religion is one of the obstacles. It costs a lot of energy to discuss the pros and cons of religion while society does not change fundamentally without religion. Religion is only one of the means of the elite to control and divide people. About fifty years ago the separation of Catholics and non-Catholics in Holland started to disappear. Catholic and Protestant parties merged into one Christian Democratic Party, former Catholic and Socialist Trade

Unions merged too. A lot of energy was wasted in labour conflicts because religious and non-religious workers were separated. It should have been better to go around religion but, in the past, animosity between believers of different religions was fairly high and the masses were divided. It was fostered by religious leaders that had sound contacts with the elite. Social problems can also be tackled without referring to the religious background of masspeople. The present propaganda against Islam again divides masspeople. Religion is an obstacle; go around it and advance directly toward the eliteworld.

There shall always be fierce discussions and even personal clashes in connection with religion but do not make it a central point. Save your energy for more important targets. Seemingly controversial subjects that have their origin in the elitist paradigm disappear as snow before the sun when we advance further on the road to a society based on the human paradigm.

The invisible hand of the elitist paradigm causes the problem of the division of masspeople in warring groups. In wars this division is obvious. Masspeople fight each other because of what is decided in elitist circles. The Algerian War, the Vietnam War, the five Wars between India and Pakistan, the Balkan War and now the Iraq War and the Afghanistan War are only some of the many violent conflicts in our world. All these conflicts are driven by a short-term idea about more power and money for a small group. But the world was hardly different after these wars were terminated. An elite remained on top though sometimes some new members were accepted. Masspeople suffered, many were wounded or died and a devastated country was left behind.

The continuous violent conflicts between masspeople prove that all ideas to reduce the amount of violence have not been successful. The walls around the massworld that are the basic cause of this violence are not challenged. When these walls are not destroyed and when the Holy Trinity of Money, Power and Elitepersons is not undermined, misery will continue to exist and the next violent conflict is already on our doorstep – Iran,

North Korea, Russia, water wars etceteras. Many more masspeople will die and hardly any elitepersons.

I have had many discussions about corruption and especially media people want to expose corrupt people. But greedy high-placed powerful people are only using their function (that gives them power) to get more for themselves. Up till now not one banker who enriched himself and who contributed to the disruption of the financial system has been arrested for corruption. By exposing a single corrupt leader nothing will change as long as the elite keeps the “right” to get more than masspeople. When this paradigm is not attacked corruption will remain part of our society.

The exposure of excessive corruption can put some pressure on certain elitepersons and gives a minor argument to attack the elitist paradigm but contributes hardly anything to a change in our society. Some corrupt persons will be removed from their post and replaced by others with the same idea - get as much money as possible. By attacking specific corrupt persons you may make society a little bit fairer but corruption based on the elitist paradigm remains. Even the elite is against excessive corruption - it takes money away from other elitepersons. The military coup in Thailand and the prison sentence for former Prime Minister Thaksin can be seen as a conflict between two parts of an elite in which one part tried to get a too big slice of the elitist cake. This inter-elitist conflict caused a deep split in the Thai masses that are fighting each other; as always the elite remains untouched.

Obstacles prevent that masspeople reach the walls of the elitist prison. A strike does not hurt directors but only masspeople who work in the enterprise or masspeople who need the industrial products for their daily life. Nationalist and religious fights sometimes lead to the coming into being of two separate nations in which the structure (an elite at the top and the masses down below) is a copy of the situation before the nationalist fights. Pakistan/India/Bangla Desh or the disintegration of Yugoslavia must be a lesson for everyone. Masspeople did not profit from these bloody fights.

I have written already about referendums as the saviour of the democratic system, they do not work. But I am not propagating that you must not vote (or that you must vote for the best people), I have better things to do. I do not get excited when a new servant of the elite is elected. The process of elections is just one of the obstacles that protect the interests of the elite.

Masspeople must accept that some unacceptable situations (from the human point of view) cannot be solved now. When a small group is allowed to amass more money than the rest it is self-evident that many people at the bottom of society will have much less – the number of more than nine million kids who die each year before they are five years old can maybe halved in a few years; but when the cause is not attacked, too many kids will still die before they are five.

Some horrendous situations can become less horrible but than new hideous situations will spring up from nowhere, solved problems will be replaced by new problems. Why should you try to improve the elitist society and not concentrate on the causes of inequality, on the elite that dominates our world? Lots of energy is spent on eradicating hunger in the world but still it is widespread. In 1981, 1.9 billion people, half of the world population was considered to be poor. Now 25% is considered poor. There has been no change in Africa, where lots of NGOs tour around in luxurious SUVs. The only big improvement was in China where leaders still have some sympathy for the well-being of the masses.

Many masspeople have spent a lot of energy in combating poverty but the results speak for themselves. Still 1.4 billion people are living with less than one euro a day. It is not possible to eradicate poverty under the present paradigm that preaches inequality.

Indignation over wrong and inhuman situations leads to nowhere. You can endlessly discuss details of the wrong sides of our society but nothing changes when you do not attack the deeper causes. Action goals must not be the existence of corruption or poverty (two complementary sides of

our world), the existence of wars, terrorism, crumbling environment or discrimination but the existence of elites that live in a separate and prosperous eliteworld. And the existence of the seemingly impenetrable wall that is built around the region in which masspeople are allowed to reside.

Many problems disturb masspeople but they feel powerless to solve them. When masspeople do not concentrate on the solution of the biggest problem that is at the root of all smaller problems they remain within the walls that are erected by the elite. When the walls have been removed horrendous situations can easily be solved in a way you cannot even dream of within the confining walls. Just as I have seen many interesting places outside my own small Holland, people will discover that there are many agreeable pastures outside the boundaries that are drawn by the elite. But then they must not be distracted by conflicts with other masspeople that prevent them to reach the pastures outside the elitist borders.

These walls will never be reached when masspeople continue to be obsessed by obstacles that obstruct the way, when they continue to refuse to remove these obstacles. Then the walls will never be reached because there are so many obstacles, that all human energy will be spent before the walls are reached. There is only one way to reach the walls, go around all obstacles, concentrate on the most important task, the destruction of the walls that confine masspeople to a very restricted place.

The walls will be torn down when conflicts between masspeople are avoided and when all energy is used to invade the eliteworld. I give a few words of advice:

Avoid activities that split the massworld. The elite wants a divided massworld.

When only masspeople are involved in a problem, do not get involved – that can concern problems with immigrants, religion, nationalism, discrimination, etceteras. These problems cannot be solved in the present society.

Accept that people have different religions, different skin colours, different lifestyles. When it is not possible to work together with certain masspeople, avoid them and direct your arrows at the top.

Strike at the top, not at the bottom. When the minds at the top change, the bottom gets more room to solve any problem.

All actions must put pressure on elitepersons; any damage must be restricted to the eliteworld.

Undermine the power of the elite in such a way that elitepersons find it more difficult to take decisions that promote their own circle.

Success is ensured when elitepersons cannot live anymore in the quiet, safe, privileged, prosperous, and from the massworld-separated, eliteworld.

Things really start rolling when elitepeople are forced to descend to the massworld. In the meantime small successes give a lot of pleasure to active masspeople who are interested and involved in the coming of a New World in which all people have the same status.

BE LIKE A FISH IN THE WATER

- Actions must promote the human paradigm

Capitalistic economics arises from greed and does not take love into account. It is failing, being profoundly damaging to people of the planet; the sooner we discard it for Humanistic economics, the better it will be for all.

Dr. Leo Rebello

For a New World you must have a vague paradigm to check if actions will have some success. A simple guideline that puts people central and undermines the elitist paradigm that turns around money.

It is not possible to reach a New World by discussions, persuasion and still more facts about what is wrong in our world. Actions are indispensable.

A constructive discussion between people with different paradigms is hardly possible. Discussions with people who put the direct benefit of masspeople in the first place, but who want to remain within the elitist walls are also difficult. "Old people" live in a world in which the interest of the elite comes first, in which money plays the central role. "New people" put the interests of masspeople in front, for them humans play the central role.

The followers of the old paradigm concentrate on the eliteworld, of the new paradigm on the massworld. They often see the same problems but their solutions are incompatible. They look at different places and see different facts. For the one, economy and profits come first, for the other, the situation of the more than nine million kids who die before they are five years old, is a central point. Solutions for the present economic crisis are all concentrated on the preservation of the economy in the richest part of the world. What happens with the poor is not important. The number of people that are hungry is already increasing.

The two paradigms are incompatible. To accept one means that the other

has to be rejected. It is not possible to insert elements of the old paradigm in the new paradigm, the only possibility to get a New World is that the new paradigm completely replaces the old one.

Some facts about intrinsic failures of the present system must be advanced. Not small facts - you might lose a few thousand euros because of the financial crisis, not obvious facts about the millions amassed by greedy leaders - but human facts about the situation that more than nine million kids die before they have reached the age of five and that this situation hardly changes.

How is it possible that people are dying because they do not have a few euros to buy food while others panic because they lose a few thousand, though their life continues to be vastly superior to the life of the poor? Facts about money belong to the old paradigm, facts about dying masspeople belong to a paradigm that put humans central.

Facts alone do not change the world. You can tell again and again how directors of the bankrupt Lehman Brothers amassed millions at the cost of the money and the jobs of investors, savers, consumers, employees, pensioners and taxpayers. It does not change anything.

You can tell again and again about people who are dying because they do not have enough money though this kind of stories disappeared nearly completely during the financial crisis. The misery in the world is not anymore important; neither is the air pollution that kills millions, the disappearance of rain forests or the animals that have no place left to live. Everything is dominated by subjects with whom money plays an important role. The present leaders cannot solve human, social problems because they are not their problems. They do not die because they cannot buy enough food, they live in places where air pollution is low, they even imprison threatened animals in their private zoos. What happens outside the eliteworld is uninteresting. Some facts make masspeople clear that something has to change but it is not enough. There will be no change when only words are used. That the pen should be mightier than the sword must have been invented in elitist circles.

Proposed solutions based on the elitist guideline have proven to be insufficient. The situation resembles the time in which the ideas of Ptolemy dominated astronomy. His idea that the earth was the centre of our solar system could not solve many problems. Only when Copernicus unfolded the secret that the sun was the centre, new and often very simple solutions came forward.

Solutions that are based on the idea that Money, Power and Elitepersons are at the centre of our world are inadequate. Real solutions can only come forward when people become the centre of our world. We need a human paradigm to survive.

It is not enough to talk, write or even shout how bad the elitist paradigm is. Powerful people profit from this paradigm and they defend this idea to the utmost. Actions are necessary. One of the action targets is to undermine that leading people can benefit from their powerful position, that they can continue to live their prosperous life in a separated and privileged eliteworld that is in all aspects better than the life in the massworld.

The power of elitepersons is immense and they have many power instruments to defend their way of life. Clashes with these instruments must be avoided; actions must be directed at the private living sphere of elitepeople. That is in agreement with the human paradigm that states that all people have the same status. Security personnel, controllers, civil servants, etc. who are also masspeople should not be attacked because they have the same status as the attackers. Such attacks only divide the masses. And when masspeople fight each other, the elite continues to live undisturbed its prosperous, safe and quiet life.

Regarding the disastrous economic situation of Pakistan, Qaiser Bengali said: "What Pakistan has done over the last 30 years is to take money out of industry and agriculture and instead of investing in the infrastructure for these sectors, we have invested in the military, in luxury housing, in the civil administration We are like a company that invests all its revenues on improving its head office building and paying an army of security guards and there is no money left for spare parts and raw materials. I think that structural change has to be made".

This analysis of the Pakistani economy is also valid for the behaviour of the elite. Money is channelled away from the massworld towards the eliteworld. The present economic crisis is caused by the greed of people who think they have a different status than masspeople. It is time to call them to account in their private life.

Elitepeople have to be put under pressure; but not its power instruments as:

- police and other security personnel
- data banks about masspeople
- the propaganda machine and the media
- prisons, mental institutions etc. to put people away
- intrusions into the private life of masspeople
- the possibility to harass oppositional masspeople
- laws that are written to control masspeople
- the possibility to divide masspeople by splitting them up in groups, tribal, ethnic, regional, religious, on colour and sex differences etc.
- the control of the internet to canalise information between masspeople, etc.

Go around these obstacles, they are a nuisance but go around them!

Nothing will change when all energy is put in combating power instruments that are only a stick to hit masspeople. When you take the stick away there will always be another stick lying around to start hitting you again. Direct your energy at the hitter and not at the instrument with which you are being hit. Direct your energy at the people who live in the world the elite has reserved for itself.

Elitist power instruments can be dangerous. So it is best to remain anonymous. Be only active in small, temporary and independent groups of trusted people. Big organisations have never ousted any elite.

Activists don't have to shout around what they are doing to get some praise. Their activities bring a new society a little bit nearer and give them enough pleasure when they see that elitist persons are disturbed.

In the elitist society everything is based on rewards. People who like to do something for their club and do not have to be paid because they earn their money elsewhere, are becoming rare. In the action world, money should be out of bounds but even there the money factor becomes important (Greenpeace). And in the charity world, financial recompenses for people in NGOs are often very nice.

Remain as anonymous as a fish in the water. Live an ordinary life as anyone else. Full-time activists alienate from the massworld in which they live. You must continue going to your sports club, watching television, talking with your neighbours – and sometimes carry out a small action. Never brag about what you do, have a nice human life and be active in your spare time.

To be active is not a profession, it is a devotion to contribute something to the coming of a New World. You do not have to earn a living by being active. The greatest reward for an activist is that a successful action brings a better future nearer (and of course the pleasure of the effects of the action). You are only one of Many by sending your arrows at one of the (Happy) Few. You do not need much money to penetrate into the eliteworld to disturb the privileged life of elitepeople. Richard Fuld Jr., president of the now defunct Lehman Brothers, should have been prevented to live his luxurious and privileged life. Then the bank should still be there and a lot of misery could have been avoided. Just before the bankruptcy of the bank he gave himself a bonus of ten million dollars and in his years at the top he “earned” 500 million dollars, which he found to be a proper reward for his work. His greed to get still more money clouded his ordeal over decent banking. But this criminal still lives a luxurious life.

The human paradigm demands different activists who carry out actions that are directed against the adepts of the elitist paradigm. People stand central and the impact of the action on the mind of elitepersons. The human paradigm decides which action contributes something to the coming of a New World.

Most actions are now reactions on what the elite does but what elitepeople do is not important. Activists should plan their own activities. We want something completely different, so we have to use completely different actions. Otherwise we will never reach the walls to get a world in which people have relations that are not dominated by money, not dominated by people who want to show their surplus of money to their own kind in the small eliteworld that is far away from the world of common people.

The idea that elitepeople rule the world has not had much influence on activities of masspeople. Sometimes, high-placed people have been attacked but most actions remained demonstrative or against buildings where decisions are taken.

Around the seventies of the last century there were violent town guerrillas in Germany, Italy and other countries. These groups considered themselves to be the “vanguard of the people” and thus denied that all people had the same status. They thought they could make society better without involving the People in the struggle. They lacked a guideline that could lead to a New World; they lacked a new human paradigm. Their actions were inconsistent, attacking first this object and then that object. In that way you never get a new society.

In contradiction to the rule that you have to be like a fish in the water, the German RAF and the Italian Red Brigades were too specialised, specialised full-time activists could only carry out actions. Many people sympathised with these groups but masspeople could not be activated. Activists should not be soldiers who use guns, bombs and other special materials but individuals who carry out actions with what they already know. They must be like the people around them – they must remain like a fish in the water. Therefore, a multitude of small simple actions against the mind of leaders is better than big specialised actions such as kidnapping. By changing the minds of leaders you can force them to admit that all people have the same status and that money is of secondary importance.

The start of the RAF was disastrous, putting fire to a warehouse that sold war toys. Did they really think that the elite would change its ideas because some insurable items were destroyed?

The Red Brigades propagated that attacking powerful individuals could destroy the power structure. I do not understand how the abduction and killing of the Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro could ever change Italian society; just as it contributed nothing to the coming of a new world when the president of the German Union of Employers, Hans Martin Schleyer, was killed by the RAF. The mind of dead people cannot be changed anymore.

These terrorist groups had not learned the lessons of past revolutions that were based on new ideas like Freedom, Equality and Brotherhood. They did not understand that one big action can never change the world; that masspeople are like fleas; that many and only a multitude of small actions against elitist leaders can bring change.

Any attack must be determined by the human paradigm that puts people in the centre. The targets are elitepeople who put money and not humans as central.

The method is the invasion of the eliteworld to disturb the life of elitepeople who think they have the right to have more than other people, who think they have a higher status than masspeople.

Actions must make it impossible for elitepeople to profit from their powerful position, actions must prevent that elitepeople continue to live in the safe, prosperous and privileged eliteworld.

When this privileged situation crumbles away, the minds of leaders will change in such a way that they will give attention to all people.

This can be reached by the method of Creative Disturbance. By disturbing their privileged life elitepeople are forced to look differently at the world.

THE WAR OF THE FLEA

- Small actions are beautiful

Research (the facts), reinvent (self), renew (your energy), invest (with power) and reach out to reform the world.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Small fleas sometimes attack big powerful humans. After many small bites of many small fleas the big human suddenly decides to go somewhere else where there are no fleas. Many small actions can have a big effect.

There are many masspeople and only a few elitepeople. Masspeople are like fleas, tiny, seemingly powerless, entities compared with the immensity of the surrounding society. But a thousand fleabites are worse than the one bite of a tiger.

The first bite you forget. The next bites are still a nuisance. But a hundred bites – and still more coming – invade your mind and suddenly enough is enough. You run away from the attacking fleas.

Elitepeople will be forced to concentrate all their energy on the problem of how to stop the continuous nuisance caused by a myriad of actions of masspeople. How can they stop the invasion of fleas that penetrate into their private life? The bites of the flea make life in the eliteworld nearly impossible; so any solution would have to include ideas that are contrary to the elitist paradigm that the elite has the “right” to live in the safe and prosperous eliteworld, far away from the often-harsh massworld.

The *Theory of Chaos* states that a small cause can have a big effect. The flapping of the wings of a butterfly in Brazil can cause a typhoon above Cuba. The effect of the miniscule wings of the butterfly strengthens in the course of time. Because there are many unknown miniscule movements you can never predict how the weather will be after a longer period. Just as you never can predict what will happen when many miniscule fleas bite a few big elitepersons. A multitude of small events can suddenly cause a huge change.

Not only small biting fleas have this effect. Water that is soft enough to take a shower can destroy hard objects. Drip, drip, drip, drip and suddenly the stone will crack. Maybe it takes a month, maybe a year but in the end a long series of small water drops will cause the hardest material to succumb.

Our world is still influenced by the mechanical ideas of Newton who said that it is possible to predict the future from the present. The newest scientific developments prove that prediction is not always possible. But in politics people still seem to prefer a predictable but dreary future to the possibility of an agreeable future that not yet can be imagined.

To get a bright future we have to use methods that differ fundamentally from all what is used in the past. The old methods did not bring enough change; it is time to use something else.

Only actions that can lead to a jump in the development of human society are worth considering. From our personal life we know that jumps sometimes occur (exams, discharge, retirement, divorce, marriage, birth and death, etceteras) and that they often open new unexpected perspectives, even a new kind of life. The world around us goes on but our own life changes.

Often people long for the past but the past is over. For example, after a divorce it is senseless to look back and aspire, instead, to look around for new possibilities. You should always look for a possible change that opens a New World. Similarly, why should you continue to be a member of a political party? Why should you continue to vote, while after elections there hardly seems any change? You still have no influence on decisions that are taken somewhere up there. To get influence, we must bring the world up there, down to our own earth.

Change must be the leading motive of all activities. Jumps make life interesting. Look how people are cheering the unexpected actions of could be compensated for some of the damage caused by decisions taken far

predicted winner! Suddenness makes sport and life interesting. Mass people should include the possibility of sudden changes in their activities even when they do not know where these changes will lead. Elite people are different, they want to keep what they have and expand their possession of power and money. Masspeople can and must jump. Only then another future is possible in which power, money and elitepersons do not play first violin.

The world does not change within a second; change will not be decided by a few big actions. Most wars last a long time. Colonial wars were fought (and won) by a multitude of small acts of colonised people though at the cost of too many lives of masspeople. Saddam Hussein was chased away very fast but the Iraq War drags on—and again numerous masspeople are dying. The Afghan War is also a continuing sad story. History shows that it takes a long time to win against a seemingly very powerful opponent. But this victory must never be paid with, by the lives of too many masspeople. We must use different ways.

Lasting change can only be reached when the mind of people change - the mind of leading people as well as the mind of underlying people. A change of mind is a long-term process. You cannot wipe out history with a few impressive actions. Therefore we need a multitude of small actions directed at the mind of leaders who, in the first place, think of improving their own life, of preserving their own privileged living place.

In a battle the target is easy, all those people with a uniform that differs from your uniform. Leaders do not wear uniforms. You have to determine which leader you want to put under pressure. The purpose of any action must be to make it impossible that such people can live a life in the privileged eliteworld because they have more power than masspeople.

This idea can be summarized in one simple sentence, we must invade the eliteworld so that privileged and powerful elitepeople realise there are other humans in the world. When the benefit of an exclusive life disappears they will stop thinking how they can safeguard their privileged life and use their capacities to give everyone a life that is human. Only when we invade

the eliteworld, elitepeople will change their idea that they are special. Only then they shall realise that all humans have the same status.

Who are the people who have a life that is in all regards better than the life of masspeople? Who are the persons that have to be bitten by human fleas?

In 1980 I wrote a book – only available in Dutch – with the title “The Power of the Family-Capital and what to do against it”. Included are the names of two hundred and twenty seven top-members of the Dutch elite. Though they live often very close by they still live in neighbourhoods where masspeople are not at ease. That must change. Masspeople must become fishes in the water of the eliteworld. Many masspeople work in this world to comply with even the most ridiculous demands of the elite. It is possible to be also like a fish in the eliteworld.

Though I can give many names of elitepeople in my own country – as a fish in my own water – I cannot give and I don’t want to give names of all elitepeople in the whole world. Masspeople have sufficient capacities to find powerful persons in their neighbourhood. They have enough creativity to find out whom they want to put under pressure. These targets can be elitepersons and also other leaders with the same mentality of putting money in the centre (especially when it disappears into their own pocket). The needs of masspeople are inferior to the needs of people like themselves.

The media portray elitepeople as reasonable and nice but they are only nice in their own surroundings, regarding masspeople they are not reasonable. Obama seems nice and reasonable and many people will be deceived in the future considering the enthusiasm around his election. After Kennedy, Obama is the second president who is elected because he wants “change”. But Kennedy nearly started an atomic war around independent Cuba and invaded independent Vietnam. Not that nice and reasonable. Obama learned a lot from Kennedy. He did not specify what he was going to change; he just said, “I want change”. And then he surrounded himself with people from the old political establishment.

Is he going to change that millions of petty criminals (mostly black), who are languishing in American jails, are being replaced by big financial criminals who steal millions?

Will he withdraw American troops from Iraq because the reason to invade Iraq was fabricated by the government of his predecessor, Bush? Will he close all seven hundred military bases in foreign countries? Will he stop American leaders from interfering in independent countries? Of course not, the elite will continue (with or without servants as Obama) to amass money and to send masspeople to wars where only masspeople can die.

These people are not nice and reasonable; they are driven by money and not by the destiny of common people.

The damage caused to masspeople by these nice and reasonable people is bigger than the damage caused by nasty masspeople. The elitist category of criminals has made laws in such a way that they can do what they like – when masspeople are hurt it is not their problem.

Masspeople are capable of selecting someone who is obviously not a massperson. The obstacle that elitepeople seem to be nice and reasonable and that they, therefore, do not deserve to be put under pressure, must be disregarded. It is one of the obstacles you have to avoid. The mind of elitepeople has to change and the mind of masspeople also. Obama may now be cheered; in a few years disappointment will grow. “You can fool all the people some of the time and some of the people all the time but you cannot fool all the people all the time”. (Abraham Lincoln). The election of Obama falls under the first part of this quote.

Masspeople can find names and data of elitepersons when they wake up and discard the spell of reasonability the elite has thrown over the masses. They are autonomous and creative enough to determine whom they want to put under pressure. It is an obstacle when many masspeople continue to believe that leaders will fulfil their needs. Around 2001, Bush had an approval rating of far more than eighty percent. Obama has not yet reached

that but in four years he will have the same rating as Bush, around fifty percent will remain positive. Many masspeople continue to believe in the fairy-tale that leaders work for the benefit of the People. The action method I propose forces masspeople to consider what exactly all these seemingly sympathetic leaders are saying and doing. In this process masspeople will become more independent when they perceive that decisions of leaders are determined by money and not by the growing happiness of common people.

Everyone should pursue more independence, autonomy and creativity. People should not react to what others do but draw their own plan. Most people only react to what leaders are doing. In the Squatters Movement masspeople acted on their own initiative. They needed houses, many houses were empty, and so they occupied them. Sometimes they carried out independent actions by breaking the windows of banks that were behind the ever-rising price of houses. But when police evicted squatters from a squatted house it was the old story, fight with the police and never approach people who ordered that a house be taken away from the squatters. Most actions are reactions. The initiative remains with the opponent.

In the eighties there were massive actions against risky Dutch nuclear plants. They concentrated on the blockade of a nuclear plant. The success was minimal, the plant was not closed. Instead of a blockade I proposed the slogan “The Nuclear Plant is Everywhere”. At university, several professors were involved in the development of nuclear energy; some political leaders together with leaders from energy concerns propagated the expansion of this kind of energy. Many firms provided the nuclear plant with food, employees or materials. Energy from the plant was transported throughout the country and accepted as normal energy by local leaders. Roads and waterworks had to be built to make the plant accessible and to provide cooling for the nuclear process. And so on. Everywhere leading people were connected with the production of nuclear energy. So everyone could find in his neighbourhood some target that was directly involved in the production of nuclear energy. But alas most energy was spent on the unsuccessful blockade and small actions (a multitude of flea bites) were rare.

The mind of masspeople must change to carry out autonomous actions against people who are directly involved in influencing their life – in a negative sense. Such actions have better result than the present big actions that are often organised by third persons so that active masspeople remain dependent on others.

The leading idea in all actions must be people (not buildings as nuclear plants). The privileged life of leaders that take doubtful decisions must become less agreeable. Then they become really involved in what they do. Now masspeople are in all decisions not more than numbers in a file. In this process the mind of elitepeople as well as the mind of masspeople will change till everyone will accept the new human paradigm. Then a New Society will come into being.

Freedom in the political context means absence of physical and/or government coercion, id est, the principle of independent action.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Many years ago I stayed some days in Longwy, one of the centres of the French steel industry. On a hill was a lovely old walled city. The steel workers lived hundred and fifty meters lower in an unhealthy neighbourhood blackened by the grey smoke of nearby steel plants. The old town where the higher echelons of the iron society lived was too far away to be disturbed by this dirty smoke.

A practical example of two separated worlds lying next to each other. Workers hardly went to the upper town. Around 1975 it was decided to close the steel factories. 6500 people on a population of about 50000 were to lose their work. In 1979 there was an uprising that ended when some vague promises were made about new jobs and the early retirement of older workers. A lot of misery was caused to the inhabitants of the town down under while the inhabitants of the town up there continued to live their privileged and prosperous life.

All actions took place in the lower city. The main police station was stormed and many fights took place between policemen and workers. Only masspeople were arrested or wounded. Once in nearby Réhon, fire was set to an elegant château that was frequented by factory managers. Volunteer firemen refused to fight the blaze; they agreed that it was a legitimate action target even when buildings do not take decisions.

Communists called the uprising a class struggle but it was a struggle inside the mass class and not between masspeople and elitepeople. Communists did not understand that this kind of fights had a limited result; masspeople could be compensated for some of the damage caused by decisions taken

away. In the aftermath, nearly half of the inhabitants left Longwy or started to work in neighbouring Luxembourg. The empty promises for new jobs were only made to pacify the angry steel workers.

The separation between good and bad neighbourhoods exists everywhere. Gated communities are a copy of the eliteworld. In the Third World the separation is often obvious, as I have seen in countries like Thailand, India or Columbia. When I travelled in the sixties on the Congo River I passed a crowded Congolese town. Two kilometres away we landed in a small and quiet town where only white colonialists lived.

In 1962 the Nigerian town of Abeokuta had a neighbourhood that was reserved for white people. I was branded as an idiot when I preferred to live elsewhere. Nothing much changed after decolonisation; there are still many pleasant neighbourhoods where poor people are not allowed to live.

In the Indian financial centre Mumbai, sixty percent of the twenty million inhabitants live in slums. The town is booming but who profits? The latest terror attacks were directed at some icons of the rich, the gorgeous five star hotels Taj Mahal and Oberoi Trident, the poor parts of the city were not attacked.

Such ridiculous actions do not change the mind of the elite. They are in contradiction to the human paradigm. More personal methods must be used in which elitepersons are put under pressure so they must admit they have to take different decisions. The Mumbai activists are dead or in prison and cannot perpetrate other actions. This kind of attack is too big for small individuals. It is in contradiction to the War of the Flea – the world does not change after one big action. The organisers are megalomaniacs, just as bank directors who amass fortunes with their modernised pyramid game.

Mumbai is only one of the cities in the developing world where the separation of elite - and massworld is obvious; it is also in our world a reality. Look around and you easily find the eliteworld.

The example of Longwy is incredible. What happened in the lower town had nothing to do with life in the upper town. Two worlds apart in which most decisions about the lower town were taken in the upper town while masspeople had no influence on decisions concerning the upper town. Inhabitants of the lower town remained down under and only protested by marching and shouting in their own streets. They never entered the quiet streets of the upper town. Direct contact between the two groups was and is virtually non-existent. Decision-takers do not know much about the life of masspeople. The decision to start the Iraq War has never been influenced by the idea about what would happen to the life of families of dead Iraqis or dead American soldiers. That must change!

Actions of masspeople have always taken place in their own massworld and the results were minimal. What was up, stayed up and what was down, remained deep under. For real improvement something different has to happen.

We have to invade the eliteworld where decision-makers live their safe, quiet, prosperous and privileged life. We must see to it that the life of all people gets the same quality and that nobody should be privileged over other people. All people should have the right to sit on the same table because according to the human paradigm all people have the same status.

The target must be those people who have, in all aspects, a better life — people who do not agree that all people have the same status, who believe that their status is higher. This egoistic idea is the reason behind many decisions, the reason why some people live in the more agreeable parts of a town. After the abolition of slavery there was not much change. Some people still thought they must have more rights than other people. Open racism is not fashionable anymore but in higher circles it is still a dominating idea that we, ‘Our Kind of People’, are better than they, ‘That Kind of People’.

We must take the reason away why elitepeople take decisions that damage masspeople and favour their own kind of people. The reason is – I repeat it again – that elitepeople want to live in an exclusive world. When they

cannot anymore use their powerful position to get a privileged position, their egoistic decisions will change and become more humane, more in the interest of all people. Actions are for the elite only a passing nuisance, part of their daily work to safeguard their own privileged world.

In 2008 Somali pirates got around 120 million euros for their activities, an amount in the same order as the earnings of only one of the bank directors that caused the financial crisis. The German government proposed to set up an International Tribunal to judge pirates who stole a few euros and endangered ship traffic near Somalia. The Germans did not propose to set up an International Tribunal to judge bankers who wrecked the financial and economic system while getting many more euros than the Somali pirates.

The world has not changed much since Aesop more than twenty five hundred years ago said, “we hang the petty thieves and appoint the great ones to public office”.

The reasons for piracy are more reasonable than the reason for the excessive greed at the top of society. Piracy is one of the few ways to earn money in Somalia, a country without a government. The Somali pirates and their family found a way to survive in their chaotic country. Many thousands of masspeople have a better life even when a substantial part of the ransom money vanishes in the pockets of mediators who do not live in Somalia. Because money is pouring in pirates become more audacious, just as bank directors became more audacious in amassing enormous amounts of money because nobody seemed to object to their activities. The reason for both kinds of activities can be summarised in one word: MONEY.

Both are motivated by the elitist paradigm that puts money in front. Power and the own group play also an important role. In both cases leaders get most money and foot folk carry out the actions to get some money to support their family.

What do they do with that money? In the case of pirates it is obvious, thousands and thousands of people are fed, necessary household items

are bought and houses are built that nobody ever could afford in this desolate part of the world. Many people started to think of a better life. The actions cannot be tolerated but pirates also want to have a part of the cake, otherwise they starve.

How different it is with bank directors (and other elitepersons). They already get a big part of the cake and they will never starve. Still they demand to get more and more and more and more and no action is undertaken against them. Even after the financial melt down, bank directors are allowed to keep their surplus money (above their already lavish income) despite the fact that these ridiculous high incomes were one of the reasons of the crash.

The different attitude in regard to pirates and in regard to bank directors is quite logical, people in public office who decide are of the same kind as the directors. When leaders do not act against the voracity we have to do something, we have to see to it that bank directors cannot profit from their inhuman activities. To achieve that, we have to intrude into the places where these people spend their amassed capital.

We must introduce some chaos in the quiet eliteworld. Then elitepersons do not know what to do anymore because something happens they cannot control. Masspeople have no control over their life and time and again they are disturbed by decisions from above. The disturbance by the present economic crisis brings even more chaos into the massworld. It should be a reason to look for another way to live. But Western masspeople still trust that leaders will make life more agreeable. They should take the initiative in their own hands!

Elitepeople live in a different world and decide about what happens in our world. Just as the West needs China to produce the goods we do not produce anymore, the eliteworld needs the massworld for goods and services they cannot provide for themselves.

There should be some contact between the two kinds of people but life up there differs too much from life down under. Holidays, availability of money, habits, clothing, emphasis on classical music, education, shopping places, even the language is different.

Up there has a big influence on our world and we must stop that. Elite world and Massworld are intertwined, a small part of our physical world is eliteworld and the biggest part is massworld. The two worlds should be merged.

Elitepeople will listen to us only when we enter their eliteworld. Just as the West reacts to the terrible situation in Somalia only when their ships are attacked. Elitepeople descend to the massworld to get more, to get better service from masspeople, to coerce them to produce more, etc. Everything revolves around what elite people get.

Only when elitepeople replace their elitist paradigm by the human paradigm that puts humans in first place, there is a possibility for a lasting change, for a New Society in which all people have the same status.

A paradigm is not a set of rules; it is a leading idea. The new human paradigm has to penetrate the mind of leading people but also masspeople must become convinced that only the new paradigm will open the road to a New Human Society that is in contradiction to the present society. To reach such a situation new and unexpected activities from masspeople are demanded. Past activities never brought a human society closer. So let's invade the eliteworld and force elitepeople to change their mind.

When elite people cannot anymore use their money to secure their privileged life, the idea that money reins the world, disappears. We must care for it that the old paradigm stops being effective. They propagate that money makes the world go round but when money has only become a means to exchange goods, it cannot give the elite privilege. When privileges are gone, when we have destroyed the "right" of elitepeople to get more than anyone else, the world changes. Leaders will not anymore be motivated by money but have to look for another motive to work and live. That is offered by the new paradigm, just care for it that all humans have a decent life. Of course it is easier to please the Happy Few than the Less Happy Many but it will be an inspiring idea.

Before that happens we must act in such a way that the benefits of the old paradigm disappear. When elitepeople acknowledge that, when it becomes imprinted in their mind that all people have the same status, we get change.

We are the masses and we are the world.

We are one and we are second to none.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Actions are indispensable. Without actions there will be no change. By using words, facts, discussions, petitions, voting or through interference of the judicial power, it is possible to settle a conflict when both sides have the same power. But the elite has more power than anyone else and therefore these human methods are useless. The minds of leaders have to be changed in such a way that is not anymore dominated by the elitist paradigm that goes around money but by the human paradigm that regards all people to have the same value. Therefore different activities are necessary

The most important goal is a paradigm shift. The elite must admit that not money but people stand at the centre. Masspeople must know that only by using the human paradigm their position can improve, that only then they come closer to the situation in which all people have the same status. By admitting that a New Era is beginning, the minds of elitepeople as well as the mind of masspeople would change. Dead money will not anymore dominate living human beings.

Nobody has the right to use her/his power to get more for himself and his group. More for the few, means less for the many. The misery in the world, the more than nine million children that each year die before they have reached the age of five, is to a great extent caused by the greed of elitepeople. Elitepersons will bring their greed not voluntarily under control.

The second important goal is that masspeople should take care that greedy people cannot anymore profit from their surplus of power.

It is not possible to change the minds of leaders from a distance. You have to come close to them, sit on their lap and prick your finger in their stomach

when they do not listen. You have to enter their private living sphere. Only then they understand what we want. In their working place, all actions from third persons belong to the 'nuisances of work'. At home, in the safe and quiet eliteworld, is their real life and there they never have been forced to look beyond the borders of their sanctuary. When masspeople disturb this safe heaven, when chaos enters this world, elitepeople are forced to contemplate about what is happening. They are forced to change their behaviour because they are confronted with situations they do not know, do not understand and cannot control. Pressure forces them to admit there are also other people. That is the beginning of change.

The world is organised in a hierarchical way with a top that has most, though not all, power. Lower echelons have also some influence. The top is responsible for the preservation and extension of the eliteworld and for the continuing existence of the dominating elitist paradigm. This rather vague power must be disturbed and destroyed.

Most masspeople do not have any idea of this seemingly abstract power of the elite and can therefore not put the mostly unknown elitepeople under pressure. The centre of the eliteworld is for most people too far away because activists must be like the fish in the water.

The invasion of the eliteworld will, in general, be restricted to lower echelons. Putting pressure on lower leaders is also effective. When they are forced to abandon their slavish following of higher leaders, when they are forced to reject the unwritten law that all decisions must comply with the dogma of the Holy Trinity of Elitepersons, Power and Money, change is possible.

Many people in lower echelons have their roots (and their family) in the massworld and often have ascended to the eliteworld in an opportunistic way. The elitist paradigm gives them more money. Their minds are not yet so completely conditioned by the elitist virus as the minds of older members of the elite. Sooner than the real top they realise that it is time to bow to the growing pressure of masspeople. Because of their opportunism they

prefer to side with the masses to prevent their life from being disturbed too much. This process of change is reinforced by the realisation that they cannot profit as before from the lavish rewards they got from the old elite. Without rewards it becomes easier to choose between human and elitist paradigm.

When some lower elitepeople start to refuse implementing decisions based on the elitist paradigm, masspeople have taken the first steps on the road to a New Society, they have made a hole in the wall that surrounds the elitist prison.

Actions that do not invade the minds of leaders, that do not prevent the leaders from using their power for their own benefit, that do not put pressure on the private lives of leaders, should be rejected. These ancient actions have never brought a New Human World any closer.

Strikes hurt masspeople but do not disturb the life of elitepeople. Demonstrations – sometimes ending in fights with the police (also masspeople) - are merely asking leaders to change their mind and do not put pressure on them. Blockades and sit-down actions can be compared with demonstrations – do not participate, the best results are some pictures on TV. Squatting, nice for getting one's own living place, but it does not change the policy of leaders.

Blowing up buildings, throwing stones through windows of banks etc., the damage will be repaired and nothing will change. Refusal of service - Many Americans rightly refused to kill Vietnamese but the Vietnamese War dragged on. To withdraw to the countryside with some nice people with comparable ideas will not change the big society because there is no pressure at all on leaders. Elections, why should you participate? Most leaders are not elected and leaders who are elected carry on with their politics based on the elitist paradigm, elsewhere in the state apparatus if they lose an election. Hilary Clinton lost to Obama because she favoured the invasion of Iraq and approved a possible bombing of Iran but still she became foreign minister.

When you let your voice be heard at a meeting organised by leaders of your neighbourhood, you can only ask or beg. Even when all masspeople present want change, leaders can refuse because they have the power and the people are powerless.

Anti-Globalisation actions, actions against nuclear energy or actions to vote NO in the Irish referendum are only ripples in the sea of decisions by people who adhere to the elitist paradigm – when this paradigm is not undermined all actions are meaningless.

There is hardly any direct pressure on leaders, there is no intrusion in the private life of leaders who invade and damage the private life of masspersons. The mind of leaders will not change by actions in the massworld, far away from the eliteworld. The human factor has to be emphasized. Direct actions in the private living sphere are more powerful than any action that has ever been organised.

In all actions the human paradigm must be on a central plane. That means that masspeople must be like the fish in the water that they must not be arrested. Though there is always some risk in actions, suicide actions must be rejected. Dead masspeople cannot contribute anything anymore to the coming of a New World. Also, in prison, people can hardly be active. Therefore, actions must more or less remain inside the boundaries of the existing laws so that activists can be active for a very long time. Violence in actions must be minimised.

In the use of violence there is a profound difference between elite and masses. The world is full of violence initiated by the elite - through wars, prisons, torture or the violent control over protesting masspeople.

In political actions, masspeople must be careful, they must not become the victim of elitist violence, they must go around this obstacle. They must remain alive and kicking in such a way that elitepersons cannot continue their privileged life. But without mass violence there are enough possibilities to exert so much pressure that the minds of elitepersons can change.

One big action will never change the world; only many small actions make the difference. It is the only way that the minds of leaders will be influenced, in such a way that they start to take decisions that are in agreement with the human paradigm. The third goal of any action must be to change the mind of leaders.

With the human paradigm and the action goals in the back of their mind, masspeople will learn through experience which actions are best. When they judge past actions in the light of these criteria, most actions do not pass the test because success has been small and damage to activists out of proportion. In my whole life as an activist, all actions in which I have participated have not brought a New Human World even a tiny bit closer.

Many activists want to see direct results of their activities; they still have the idea that one short action brings change. They are more interested in the attention from media than in the result of the action. Publicity, an article in the newspaper, a message on Internet, pictures on television etc. does not mean that an action was successful. Actions only have result in the long term when many small pinpricks penetrate the harness of elitepersons. The media are hardly important. Media will be anyhow interested or even forced to publish something when actions are successful. Elitepersons who have come under too much pressure will activate the media by complaining about what unknown people are doing to them. Publicity and media belong to the system that is determined by the elitist paradigm and masspeople should be careful to use media that mostly defend the existing society. Even when media people are masspeople they continue to look up to elitepeople who own the media.

A free press gives the masses only the illusion of power. The media hardly play a role in mass activities. In spite of massive media campaigns, hooliganism flourishes. In spite of massive media campaigns, right-wing populists as Le Pen in France or Fortuyn in Holland still got around twenty percent of the votes in national elections. The power of the press is smaller than journalists believe. Real activists do not need the support of media in long-term actions. When the pressure increases, targeted elitepersons will bring the action in the open.

The most important goal is to influence people with power in such a way that the mind will change in the right direction for masspeople.

Politicians who stand often in the limelight of the media are not the people with most power. They are only messengers who give the impression to be the most important people in the world. When Jimmy Carter was USA President, Kissinger once said, "The president, that are **we**", referring to the not well-known people in the background who used Carter as their figurehead. Nothing changed when Carter had come under pressure, his mind was not very important.

Politicians work inside the limits that are defined by powerful people in the background. There are some exceptions with elitepersons like Roosevelt or Kennedy. But in general, politicians should be left alone. There is a bigger target, the shady background people who really take decisions, who install the elitist paradigm in the minds of their servants and see to it that the eliteworld continues to be the safe and prosperous heaven for the Happy Few.

First the paradigm must change and then we can build a New World. But that will be the work of the people who live then. Before the minds are changed, much work has to be done. But we will succeed because only we can create a New Humane World.

*If you are waiting for the return of the World Teacher
whom Christians call Jesus Christ, Muslims call Imam Mahdi,
Buddhists call Lord Maitreya and Jews call the Messiah,
then it means you are waiting for the Saviour.*

But the Saviour gave you long ago:

The power of Free Will.

Therefore, the power is in your hands.

Dr. Leo Rebello

Elitepersons must come under pressure - not abstract collections of human beings like the elite, the government or the Board of Directors; neither dead objects such as ministries, factories or ostentatious buildings. The world must turn around individual humans.

Masspersons who put elitepersons under pressure, stand central in actions which will change the present situation in which elitepersons take decisions that benefit, in the first place, other elitepersons. All people should have the same status. The failing idea that the Holy Trinity of Elitepersons, Power and Money, gives humanity a better life must be banned from human minds.

Elitepersons benefit from their surplus of power by making an own privileged eliteworld. When this advantage disappears, power becomes useless. When nobody has excessive power a New Human Society becomes possible.

New action methods have to be used. Demonstrations, petitions, even violent uprisings have never brought a new society any closer. The weapons masspeople use in the struggle must differ from elite weapons. For tens of years the Palestinian-Israeli War has been a war between a mighty army with modern weapons against an amateurish army with primitive weapons. But colonial wars have shown that even a local army armed with spears can conquer a foreign oppressor armed with sophisticated weapons. The damage to the masses was however too big. Other 'weapons' are needed.

Elitist weapons are indiscriminate, they kill arbitrarily and they cause the euphemistic collateral damage. Masspeople must use their own weapons; carry out a kind of psychological warfare directed at small targets, one eliteperson for example. The goal is to disturb the life of elitepeople in such a way that they cannot use anymore the benefits only the elite get. Therefore masspeople have to go to places where the benefits are used and make a little chaos in the otherwise safe and quiet eliteworld and all these other places where elitepersons spend their free time (and their excessive money). They must harass the private living sphere of people who amass too much money at the cost of the life of masspeople. This action method is called Creative Disturbance.

With long-lasting activities, masspeople often disturb the life of other masspeople, such as, neighbours, former lovers or other people close by. In their disturbance they are very creative. Elitepeople who are farther away are, however, mostly left untouched even when they are caused many difficulties as people close by.

Some people intrude into the private living sphere of leading people who are involved in experiments with animals. It cannot be denied that they have some success. The idea to disturb the life of people who decide, promises more results than participating in demonstrations decision-takers hardly notice. The pro-animal actions are however carried out by a more or less specialised, closed and isolated group violating the principle of being like a fish in the water. Some actions are so far outside the boundaries of the law that they hardly can be copied by ordinary masspeople.

About an English group that was recently arrested, a leading police officer said: "Most people would find this hard to believe, but with these people there was no financial imperative. It was all about the cause." We live, indeed, in a strange world where everything turns around money making.

Past practical experiences have to be analysed and developed and new ideas must be introduced. In every action the paradigm shift must be involved which means that living humans must stand central and the necessity to change the mind of elitepersons.

Everyone can carry out this kind of action. Masspeople are sufficiently creative to get many new and unexpected ideas to harass elitepeople and disturb their privileged life. Actions will hardly harm activists because they can choose targets that are easy to attack. You can call these targets soft targets but why should you try to break through a fortified wall when the back door stands open? Why should you be active around a G8-meeting guarded by an army while better results can be reached by harassing G8-members unexpectedly, in less guarded places?

Past actions did not bring a New Human Society closer because nobody knew what the inspiring idea was behind a New Society. Before, in and after the French Revolution the idea of Freedom, Equality and Brotherhood was not consequently applied. Therefore, leaders who still adhered to old ideas could demolish the new paradigm. After all past revolutions (France, Russia, China, etc.) the renovation of society was turned around and societies came into being that were just like our previous one, built on a paradigm in which Money was the pivotal point (together with Power and Elitepersons).

Some military strategists of the past were guided by the elitist paradigm but they nevertheless wrote impressive and instructive works. By applying the new paradigm we can use the ideas of Sun Tzu or Carl von Clausewitz to invade the eliteworld. Though their military works described wars between hierarchical armies, the ideas are based on the existence of two opposing forces, on power and on how to undermine the opponent. Thomas Kuhn has already said that the same facts can be explained completely differently by using another paradigm.

A year after the death of Carl von Clausewitz (1780-1831) his most important work "On War" was published. It tells about "wars to render the enemy helpless", "the importance of moral factors", "the effect of superiority in numbers and mass", "the culminating point of the offence" and "about the winning of public opinion by victories". I have re-interpreted some of his remarks with the help of the humane paradigm.

“If we are strong, we should direct our main attack at one point”. When masspeople perceive that an eliteperson is under pressure they should add their activities to those of other masspeople who already put pressure on the target.

“The chief aim is the certainty of driving away the enemy from the field of battle”. The chief aim is driving elitepersons out of the eliteworld.

“Public opinion is won through victories”. Public opinion is won when one eliteperson leaves the eliteworld and gives up his activities to improve the eliteworld. Don’t try to influence public opinion before you can point to successes.

Von Clausewitz was under the influence of the elitist paradigm when he wrote: “to make money in business is a form of human competition that greatly resembles wars”.

Here Von Clausewitz differs from masspeople. Masspeople do not need to make money, they want a human society by neutralising the elitist surplus of power by which only elitepeople can make a lot of money. The human paradigm says that all people must have the same status and therefore it cannot be that only some humans can increase their status, in our world expressed in terms of Power and Money.

The Plan of a Campaign, says Clausewitz, comprises three general objects: “The Military Power must be reduced to such a state as not to be able to prosecute war”. The pressure on elitepersons must be so big that they cannot anymore inspire the power instruments to suppress masspeople.

“The Country must be conquered, for out of the country a new military force may be formed”. That is only possible by invading the eliteworld so that elitepersons cannot continue to live there in a safe, privileged and prosperous way. When they are forced to leave their world their power to reign over power instruments disappears.

“The government must be forced to sign a peace treaty”. This is the hierarchical idea that winners must reign over losers. The human paradigm prohibits the reign of humans over other humans so there is no obligatory signing of treaties. The elitist paradigm must be destroyed so that elitepersons have no reason to return to the eliteworld. It is accomplished by making the eliteworld chaotic and inhabitable and making it impossible that elitepersons can benefit from their power.

There are three means to reach this goal:

“Invasion: the objective here is neither the conquest of the enemy’s territory nor the defeat of his armed force but to do him damage in a general way”.

In this way the homeland will be undermined and elitepersons will not remain in their former privileged and safe eliteworld. [Example: the British East India Company that ruled over India for some 250 years was thrown out by Salt March, Quit India movement and such masspeople uprising with two creative weapons of non-cooperation and non-violence. This strategy was used by Martin Luther King in US to abolish slavery and later by Nelson Mandela in SA for ending apartheid regime – Ed]

“Select for the objective of our enterprises those points at which we can do the enemy most harm”. Masspeople must look for soft targets, for example elitepeople who have already some doubts about the present system. Well-guarded public figures must be avoided.

“The third, by far the most important, is the wearing out of the enemy, a gradual exhaustion of the physical powers and of the will by the long continuance of the exertion”. Wars are not decided in one battle but by long-term actions. Therefore, demonstrations and strikes are useless. They are short-lived and do not penetrate in the elitist heartland.

Sun Tzu lived in China in the sixth century BC. He wrote “The Art of War” that is even more hierarchical than the book from Von Clausewitz.

“All warfare is based on deception”.

“Attack the enemy where he is unprepared, where you are not expected”.

“The clever combatant imposes his will on the enemy but does not allow the enemy’s will to be imposed on him”.

This book is full of hierarchical rules of obedience to leaders but these three quotes can, without change, be used in our days. Only the word enemy must be replaced by targeted eliteperson, to introduce the human factor.

The works of Sun Tzu and Von Clausewitz are free to download from http://www.gutenberg.org/wiki/Main_Page.

The last quote from Sun Tzu rejects most actions because they are only reactions to “the others”: lower wages, sack people, make wrong laws, clear squatted houses, bomb peaceful villages, etc. Action leaders organise once or twice a protest and after a short time everything will again be quiet – till the next incident. Activists remain in their activities dependent on what the elite does. They should make their own plan and act autonomously.

The leading idea must always be what we can do. For example, which elitepersons are important and what can we do to put them under pressure so that they cannot continue to keep masspeople in their grip (for their own benefit), how we can make life in the exclusive eliteworld less agreeable.

When we do unexpected things, they must react on us. They don’t like that; they want to be independent from masspeople. They are used to people reacting to them when they close factories and deprive thousands of people of a more or less comfortable life – often because of mistakes of elitepeople. When everything looks quiet and regulated they cannot cope with people who act unexpectedly.

Without independent actions, elitepeople continue to do what they did before. Without pressure, elitepeople do not change, they repeat the same mistakes again and again. They remain slaves of the elitist paradigm of money, money, money and power, power, power – for the Happy Few. We must force the Happy Few to think of all humans.

When I sit in meditation

I join with the Universal Mind.

*I would like to touch you with the Divine Light
and help you to evolve.*

Dr. Leo Rebello

Suddenly there were billions of Euros to support the greedy few who had devastated the economic and financial system. But for more than nine million children that die each year before they are five, there is no money. Also there are trillions available for ‘Star Wars’ project that will microwave the world, but no money for drinking water, shelter, food, and other such basic human needs. The world alcohol expenditure is more than the food bills of people that die of malnutrition. What sustainable development is that?

Media, politicians, the powers that be, everything was suddenly concentrated on two hundred people that died in Mumbai after an absurd and horrific attack. Two hundred people died. Terrible. Within a month Indian MPs unanimously approved tough anti-terror laws boosting security business, not *per se* the security intelligence.

But in the same India each day around five thousand children die due to malnutrition etc. The atrocious crimes against children have gone unabated despite all the progress India has made in the economic sector. Child labour is one of the highest in the world. But precious little is done in that regard.

Natural catastrophes influence the organisation of human society. But after all catastrophes it is business as usual for the elite. The present economic crisis will not affect the greed and the power of the elite. Only masspeople can change the world.

The present financial crisis is not caused by masspeople but by the greedy elites who mastermind everything to their advantage. New upstarts will use the crisis to get a bigger part of the cake; masspeople, as usual, will be sidelined in the process.

Is humanity ready for the next quantum jump? Are masspeople capable enough to take on the manipulating elite people? This same question was asked when Western leaders had to decide if colonised people were ready to be independent. The answer was that those people must decide for themselves because colonisation (open imprisonment of people) could not continue. Now the same answer has to be given. It cannot continue that people are subordinate to the elitist paradigm, that masspeople are confined in their movements and development because a small group of elitepeople has the Power and the Money to stop the journey to a New Humane World.

We masspeople do not know exactly what the future will bring. But it is certain that it cannot be worse than now. The more than nine million kids that die each year before they are five have also the right to live. We must change our world. Now.